

Re: Losing bold and underline w/INCLUDETEXT fields

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Word/microsoft.public.word.mailmerge.fields/2007-04/msg00289.html>

- *From:* "Peter Jamieson" <pjj@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 25 Apr 2007 23:19:07 +0100
-

p.s. Is it proper etiquette to reply w/a thanks, or does that just clog up the works?

I don't know these days. It's nice to get positive feedback but it's more useful for posterity when it's confirming that something a bit experimental actually worked (or didn't). It's probably also worth chopping out everything below the specific message you're responding to.

Peter Jamieson

"Kathy Webster" <slickdock@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message [news:L%P%h.5255\\$j63.202@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:L%P%h.5255$j63.202@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Found it! Thanks!

p.s. Is it proper etiquette to reply w/a thanks, or does that just clog up the works?

"Peter Jamieson" <pjj@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message <news:CDFA8FEC-3E06-4728-B3C5-201DB7E947CD@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

(Word 2002.)

2. I clicked Help, Microsoft Word Help, Contents. I don't see "Automating Tasks and

Programmability"

In Word 2002, the ToC heading is "Field Types and Switches"

Peter Jamieson

"Kathy Webster" <slickdock@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message [news:6ixXh.3550\\$Ut6.1062@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](news:6ixXh.3550$Ut6.1062@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

1. I tried *! and I got:
Error! Unknown switch argument.

Re: Losing bold and underline w/INCLUDETEXT fields

(Word 2002.)

2. I clicked Help, Microsoft Word Help, Contents. I don't see "Automating Tasks and

Programmability"

"Peter Jamieson" <pjj@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote in message
news:eolSJKshHHA.4132@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

*! means "lock the result.

Word Help (prior to Word 2007, anyway) is as good a place to start as any with field codes and switches. Look for "Automating Tasks and Programmability" in the Table of Contents

I'm so tired of everyone claiming that WP is so much more powerful than Word in this department...I'm sure its just a matter of learning the way Word does these things... :)

Peter Jamieson

"Kathy Webster" <slickdock@xxxxxxxx>
wrote in message
[news:7tXh.4748\\$j63.34@xx](mailto:news:7tXh.4748$j63.34@xx)

Wow, what does *! mean? Also, since I really have to get up to speed on all these merge codes and their possibilities, can anyone recommend a good source for studying all about advanced merge codes features, so I can give WordPerfect a run for its money? I'm so tired of everyone claiming that WP is so much more powerful than Word in this

Re: Losing bold and underline w/INCLUDETEXT fields

department...I'm
sure its just a matter of
learning the way Word does
these things...
:)

"macropod"
<invalid@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote in message
news:u4dnin9gHHA.4596@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Hi Kathy,

I'd have
thought
that, if your
{MERGEFIELD
Lastname}
field had a
charformat
switch (ie
{MERGEFIELD
Lastname *
charformat}
and the 'M'
was
formatted
with the
bold and
underlined
attributes,
these would
have been
preserved.

Since that
seems not
to work,
have you
tried adding
a character
style
to the
{MERGEFIELD
Lastname}
field in the
subdoc,
with the
bold and
underlined
attributes?

Re: Losing bold and underline w/INCLUDETEXT fields

contains
merge
fields,
ie,
{MERGEFIELD
Lastname}.
Although
the
{MERGEFIELD
Lastname}
field
is
bold
and
underlined
in
the
subdoc,
when
it
merges
into
the
final
product,
the
bold
and
underline
are
gone.
I've
tried
*mergeformat
and
*charformat
with
no
success.

Can
I
get
some
help?
Thanks.

Re: Losing bold and underline w/INCLUDETEXT fields