

Re: Infamous "svchost" Automatic Updates horrors continue

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windowsupdate/2007-05/msg00124.html>

- *From:* "Jim Byrd" <jrbyrd@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 3 May 2007 22:49:13 -0700
-

Hi Allen – I don't think that that's any kind of problem as long as you are careful to push the appropriate version of 927891 for the box involved – there are several depending on whether a box is XP or Windows Server and whether the box OS is 32 or 64-bit. See here:

<http://support.microsoft.com/?kbid=927891> If they are _all_ 32-bit XP, then the only one you will need to push is here:

<http://www.microsoft.com/downloads/details.aspx?FamilyId=7A81B0CD-A0B9-497E-8A89-40432772E5A>

That hotfix and the new Update Agent should probably be upgraded on all machines to prevent the occurrence of the 'svchost' related issues on those that haven't had the problem as well as to correct it (hopefully permanently) on those that have.

—

Regards, Jim Byrd,
My Blog, Defending Your Machine,
<http://defendingyourmachine2.blogspot.com/>

In news:1178218454.364331.254230@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx, allen604@xxxxxxxx <allen604@xxxxxxxx> typed:

|| Hi Jim

|| So from reading the newsgroups:

|| 1) install the hotfix 927891

|| 2) install the WUA agent 3.0

|| 3) upgrade the Server to 3.0

||

|| For the Hotfix: can that be applied to machines not affected? We
|| aren't sure who's really affected as our user base is 3,000, and I'd
|| like to push the hotfix via SMS to XP machines to make things
|| simpler.

||

|| Any harm in doing that?

|| thanks

|| Allen

Re: Infamous "svchost" Automatic Updates horrors continue