

Re: Command.com

Source:

http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.terminal_services/2008-08/msg00575.html

- *From:* "Vera Noest [MVP]" <vera.noest@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 24 Aug 2008 02:49:40 -0700
-

There are several ways to deal with this situation. Details are described in the Step-by-Step Guide which I referred to.

Vera Noest
MCSE, CCEA, Microsoft MVP – Terminal Server
TS troubleshooting: <http://ts.veranoest.net>
___ please respond in newsgroup, NOT by private email ___

=?Utf-8?B?R2F2aW4=? <Gavin@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote on 24 aug 2008 in microsoft.public.windows.terminal_services:

Ok, that makes sense. However, will that effect the running of batch files? We have batch files that we use to set up user accounts initially...

Cheers

"Vera Noest [MVP]" wrote:

Software restriction policy should do this.

Using Software Restriction Policies to Protect Against Unauthorized Software
<http://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/library/bb457006.aspx>

Vera Noest
MCSE, CCEA, Microsoft MVP – Terminal Server
TS troubleshooting: <http://ts.veranoest.net>
___ please respond in newsgroup, NOT by private email ___

=?Utf-8?B?R2F2aW4=? <Gavin@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote on 23 aug 2008 in microsoft.public.windows.terminal_services:

Re: Command.com

Hi All,

We have approx 30 terminal servers where I work, and we are having some issues with users trying to use command prompt.

We have disabled cmd.exe, however the users are still able to create a shortcut/batch file to access command.com. Was wondering if there is a way to disable this through group policy, as I would like to apply the same restriction to the pc's that we have as well. I have tested changing the permissions on command.com, and it seems to work well, however, am not entirely keen on having to visit each computer/login to each server separately.

Thanks, Gavin