

Re: Terminal Server – Opteron vs Xeon, multi core vs processor speed.

Source:

http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.terminal_services/2005-12/msg00285.html

- *From:* "R.Hozee" <r.hozee@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 12 Dec 2005 08:03:33 -0800
-

(I hope) it was an educated guess. I simply divided the total physical memory in use and divided it by the amount of users. I took the extra SYSTEM memory for granted, hoping to get at least an conservative estimate. If im wrong, are there ways to get close to the amount of memory used?

.

• *References:*

- ◆ *Terminal Server – Opteron vs Xeon, multi core vs processor speed.*
 - ◇ *From:* R.Hozee
 - ◆ *Re: Terminal Server – Opteron vs Xeon, multi core vs processor speed.*
 - ◇ *From:* R.Hozee
 - ◆ *Re: Terminal Server – Opteron vs Xeon, multi core vs processor speed.*
 - ◇ *From:* Jeff Pitsch
- Prev by Date: *Re: SBS 2003 / Virual Server / Terminal Server*
 - Next by Date: *Re: Info*
 - Previous by thread: *Re: Terminal Server – Opteron vs Xeon, multi core vs processor speed.*
 - Next by thread: *Re: Terminal Server – Opteron vs Xeon, multi core vs processor spe*
 - Index(es):
 - ◆ *Date*
 - ◆ *Thread*