

## Re: TS 2003 and SBS 2003: user accounts and profiles

**Source:**

[http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.terminal\\_services/2004-07/0464.html](http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.terminal_services/2004-07/0464.html)

---

**From:** Vera Noest [MVP] ([Vera.Noest\\_at\\_remove-this.hem.utfors.se](mailto:Vera.Noest_at_remove-this.hem.utfors.se))

**Date:** 07/22/04

Date: Thu, 22 Jul 2004 02:12:52 -0700

That sounds smart, planning for growth!  
In that case, depending on your budget, plan for a separate member server which is just a file server, hosting users roaming profiles, home directories, shared network installations of Office, etc.

The TS Licensing Service should not have a noticeable impact on performance.

---

Vera Noest  
MCSE,CCEA, Microsoft MVP – Terminal Server  
<http://hem.fyrlistorg.com/vera/IT>

\*----- Please reply in newsgroup -----\*

Judge Dredd <123@123.com> wrote in  
news:j29uf0pcki062m1078jldlbp9s3rq2c1m4@4ax.com:

> *The load on the DC will probably change as the months go by. It will be hosting the primary application, and possibly Exchange w/OWA in the future. The role of the SBS DC at this point is minimal, as this company is just getting started. I expect it to grow load-wise substantially in the coming years. So I would like to implement now for heavy load on the DC in the future. The role of the TS will be heavier in coming months/years as well. I suspect more applications to be added into the mix as the users begin to see how effective it is.*

>

> *Thanks for your time Vera, I find your answers to always be valuable and helpful...*

> *On Wed, 21 Jul 2004 13:11:24 -0700, "Vera Noest [MVP]"*

> *<vera.noest@remove-this.hem.utfors.se> wrote:*

>

>> *Make your 2003 TS a member server in the domain, do \*not\* make it a second domain controller!*

microsoft.public.windows.terminal\_services: Re: TS 2003 and SBS 2003: user accounts and profiles

>>Keep your user accounts on the domain controller. You will be  
>>able to put the existing user accounts into a local group on the  
>>TS called Remote Desktop Users. That way, users have only a  
>>single user account for logging in both on their PCs and the TS.  
>>

>>Where you install the TS Licensing Server is up to you. I would  
>>install it on the DC, but there is no real need for that.

>>

>>Use roaming TS profiles, located on a network share, not local  
>>profiles. The advantages might not be obvious now, but as soon  
>>as you buy a second Terminal Server, they will. Which of the  
>>servers you should use as home directory and profile server is  
>>hard to say, depends on the load on the DC and the TS.

>>

>>Here's some more information:

>>

>>Hosting Applications with Terminal Server

>>

<http://www.microsoft.com/technet/prodtechnol/windowsserver2003/pr>

>>o ddocs/deployguide/sdcce\_term\_overview.asp?frame=true

>>

>> --

>>Vera Noest

>>MCSE, CCEA, Microsoft MVP – Terminal Server

>><http://hem.fyrlistorg.com/vera/IT>

>> --- please respond in newsgroup, NOT by private email ---

>>

>>Judge Dredd <123@123.com> wrote in

>>news:flhtf0lvhcbj8poighv6kb4p50jn1lubtj@4ax.com:

>>

>>> I've got SBS 2003 running as domain controller, and I'm going  
>>> to be setting up an additional Win2003 box as application  
>>> server for TS. Will the user accounts reside on the SBS box?  
>>> Will the TS user profiles I create reside there, or on the TS?  
>>> Which one will have the licensing server running on it? I'd  
>>> imagine that the user accounts and licensing server would be  
>>> on the same box, but what is the "best practice"? thanks in  
>>> advance!