

Re: Anonymous connections.

Source:

http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.terminal_services/2004-04/0641.html

From: Benny Tritsch (*bernhard.tritsch.nospam_at_visionapp.de*)

Date: 04/21/04

Date: Wed, 21 Apr 2004 23:18:32 +0200

If your individual users log in from different locations or different devices, you'll have to use the "Per User" licensing. In the Microsoft world, this is a named user licensing model. Citrix prefers the concurrent user licensing model. Sometimes these different licensing models do not fit perfectly ;-)) You'll have to buy as many Microsoft TS user licenses as you have potential TS users.

Benny

--

Dr. Bernhard Tritsch

Author of "Microsoft Windows Server 2003 Terminal Services" by Microsoft Press

Microsoft MVP Windows Server - Terminal Server

Chief System Architect, visionapp GmbH, Germany

"Skarlund" <anonymous@discussions.microsoft.com> wrote in message

news:214601c4277b\$a09b9cf0\$a001280a@phx.gbl...

Hi,

The Citrix issue is not my problem, it's the Terminal Server.

Citrix is working in following way with anonymous accounts; a license is required for every concurrent session. When the user logs off the license is released and another user can take the advantage of the license. With Windows I don't think this is working in the same way. My issue is that we will use anonymous accounts for terminal server sessions. Even if I only will use the functionality of Citrix Metaframe TS cal will be required for the sessions.

Because the users (not more than 10 concurrent sessions) will use different devices I don't know which license mode to choose.

If Windows 2003 have same functionality like Citrix, then my problems are solved.

Regards,

Jörgen

>-----Original Message-----

>Hi Jorgen,

>

>Thank you for the update. I don't have enough resource on the third-party

>Citrix Metaframe XP program. The Terminal Services Configure is used for

>the Terminal Services of Windows Server 2003. I suggest

Re: Anonymous connections.

microsoft.public.windows.terminal_services: Re: Anonymous connections.

you contact Citrix
>directly to find more information about the issue. For
your convenience, I
>have included the link.
>
><http://www.citrix.com/site/contact/index.asp>
>
>Have a nice day!
>
>Sincerely,
>Jack Wang, MCSE 2000, MCSA, MCDBA, MCSA
>Microsoft Partner Support
>
>Get Secure! - www.microsoft.com/security
>
>=====

>When responding to posts, please "Reply to Group" via
>your newsreader so that others may learn and benefit
>from your issue.
>=====

>
>This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and
confers no rights.
>-----

>| Content-Class: urn:content-classes:message
>| From: "Skarlund" <anonymous@discussions.microsoft.com>
>| Sender: "Skarlund"
<anonymous@discussions.microsoft.com>
>| References: <1b3c01c426ec\$11e0d0a0\$a001280a@phx.gbl>
><MWVSVK2JEHA.4016@cpmsftngxa10.phx.gbl>
>| Subject: RE: Anonymous connections.
>| Date: Tue, 20 Apr 2004 23:09:24 -0700
>| Lines: 69
>| Message-ID: <lea801c42767\$32177790\$a601280a@phx.gbl>
>| MIME-Version: 1.0
>| Content-Type: text/plain;
>| charset="iso-8859-1"
>| Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
>| X-Newsreader: Microsoft CDO for Windows 2000
>| thread-index: AcQnZzIV3DCGBjLtRC2kroBRK8XDaQ==
>| X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE
V5.50.4910.0300
>| Newsgroups: microsoft.public.windows.terminal_services
>| Path: cpmsftngxa10.phx.gbl
>| Xref: cpmsftngxa10.phx.gbl
>microsoft.public.windows.terminal_services:12669
>| NNTP-Posting-Host: tk2msftngxa14.phx.gbl 10.40.1.166
>| X-Tomcat-NG: microsoft.public.windows.terminal_services
>|
>| Sorry,
>| Will run Citrix Metaframe XP with anonymous accounts,
>| which license mode is appropriate for me to choose on
the
>| Windows 2003 Terminal Server?
>| Regards,
>| Jörgen
>| >-----Original Message-----
>| >Hi
>| >
>| >Thank you for posting!
>| >
>| >From your message, I am not clear about the issue.

Re: Anonymous connections.

