

Re: [psh] Upgrade blues

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.scripting/2006-05/msg00146.html>

- *From:* Thomas Lee <tf1@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 3 May 2006 15:06:15 +0100
-

In message <eiysFqgbGHA.536@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>, "Lee Holmes [MSFT]" <lee.holmes@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> writes

2. The install experience sucks. The product is practically unusable out of the box and simple things like \$profile are not created properly.

Have you tried the new Set-ExecutionPolicy cmdlet?

No. I used regedit.exe to fix it before I started. Or tried to!

I installed RC1 and once I got into it, the file itself and some of the folders do not exist.

We've tried to make it as easy as possible for users to customize the shell to suit their tastes.

Then why is it so hard to get into? This stuff should be fixed in the installer. I should be able to install this product, via unattended means and have it work the way I want to.

I can understand and accept the amount of updating RC1 brings (most of my bigger scripts broke). But this added security is just plain brain dead. It does not help. Just like UAC in Vista – this stuff makes you guys look silly preferring security to user experience in such a way.

Could you describe a little more about \$profile not being created properly?

If I try to edit the \$profile file (Notepad \$profile) I get errors.

4. Finally – what definition of 'Unrestricted' is Microsoft using? When I say unrestricted, that's what I mean. No restrictions, nothing to stop me from doing things, no barriers, no dead-end streets, etc.

Re: [psh] Upgrade blues

Our take is that Unrestricted means, "No further restrictions than what Windows provides."

Ahh – yet another team at MS trying to bend the English language.

When I say "UNRESTRICTED" I sure don't mean "no additional restriction" I mean no restrictions at all. None of any kind. Zip. Nada. Zilch. "Do you want to run" messages are not wanted.

Is there a chance to have a "I really really really do not want to be asked" option??

Recognizing executable content downloaded from a remote source is a core part of Windows now -- it would be lax to regress in this respect.

A mapped drive is NOT the Internet! I do not want to have to type "r" each time I run a script off of a network share. This is stupid security, not helpful security. It should be fixed by Execution Policy.

So what do I get – a freaking nanny asking me every time if it's ok to run a script from my X: drive (on my other server). Hint: net use drives are NOT the Internet (well not always). What a lousy "security feature". :-(((

This is not a security feature. We do not consider files located on intranet shares to be remote. Are you perhaps running IE 7 in the mode that disables the Intranet zone? We ultimately rely on that infrastructure to determine if a file is remote or not. Or alternately, were the files on the remote share downloaded from the Internet perhaps?

All I know is that after installing PowerShell RC1 I get this silly behaviour. It did not happen earlier – and I only saw it with PSRC1.

Thomas

--

Thomas Lee
doctordns@xxxxxxxxxx
MVP – Admin Frameworks and Security
.