

## Re: Question regarding hotfix 905214

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs/2007-09/msg02444.html>

---

- *From:* "Gregg Hill" <[bogus@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:bogus@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 17 Sep 2007 18:09:49 -0700
- 

Homework for another server job coming up. On my server, I have the 905214 hotfix and SenderID filtering appears to be working fine. I am just wondering if there is a problem lurking in the dark where I have not seen it yet.

Yes, I saw the "hotfix does not replace any other hotfixes" statement and laughed when I read it. Microsoft has an interesting definition of "replace" I guess.

Microsoft sure can entertain us. My personal favorite is the one in an "autodisconnect" article that mentioned a time period of 8,000+ years, then in parentheses, stated "not tested." I cannot find that reference now.

Gregg Hill

---  
-----

DISCLAIMER WARNING: the information contained in any reply I make is merely an OPINION, one that I hope you will consider when you make a choice as to what you will do on your systems or network.

**\*\*No recommendation is to be implied by my OPINION.\*\***

There, that should cover it!

"kj [SBS MVP]" <[KevinJ.SBS@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:KevinJ.SBS@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message [news:udfeW1Y%23HHA.1212@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:udfeW1Y%23HHA.1212@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Gregg Hill wrote:

Re: Question regarding hotfix 905214

KJ,

If I use my high-school algebra, then I get the following.

For SenderID, Exchange SP2 release notes require 905214 = yes, the 905214 SMTP fix is needed for Exchange SenderID = since 914103 fixes 905214, then 914103 is needed by Exchange for SenderID.

I hope someone jumps in here to clarify it!

Gregg Hill

So Greg, are you doing homework or are you having issues? <g>

Here's a twist for your algebraic formulas;

Hotfix replacement information

This hotfix does not replace any other hotfixes.

"kj [SBS MVP]" <KevinJ.SBS@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message [news:egStBFY%23HHA.5164@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:egStBFY%23HHA.5164@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Gregg Hill wrote:

KJ,

I agree that the article could be more specific.

However, did you also notice that the Applies To section of 905214 does not even mention Exchange, in spite of the title of the article? The SMTPSVC.DLL file being replaced is part of IIS in the C:\WINDOWS\system32\inetsrv folder.

Article 914103 specifically states that it is a fix for the 905214 hotfix, and the 905214 hotfix is listed as required for SenderID in the Exchange SP2 release notes. How's that for circular reasoning!

So that's what became of the "circular logging" guy!!

Re: Question regarding hotfix 905214

In my best judgement, 914103 does not apply to a functioning Exchange Server.

But hey, maybe we can get Lanwench to give us the straight skinny on this !

Since the Exchange SMTP Virtual Server is tied to IIS, I think it would apply.

Any other ideas?

Gregg Hill

"kj [SBS MVP]"  
<KevinJ.SBS@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
wrote in message  
[news:OfG15jX%23HHA.980@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:OfG15jX%23HHA.980@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Gregg Hill wrote:

Hi, KJ!

I know that it applies to IIS SMTP, but I thought that Exchange used the SMTP service. Wouldn't that mean it is needed?

Gregg Hill

Well yes, but Exchange installs SMTP Service Extensions that may or may not be part of the issues described in the KBs. (I'm on your

Re: Question regarding hotfix 905214

side as the KB really lacks  
suffecient detail)

See the applies to: on  
902514 (notice no IIS6.0?)

APPLIES TO

- . Microsoft Windows Server  
2003, Standard x64 Edition
- . Microsoft Windows Server  
2003, Enterprise x64  
Edition
- . Microsoft Windows Server  
2003, Enterprise Edition for  
Itanium-based Systems
- . Microsoft Windows Server  
2003, Standard Edition  
(32-bit x86)
- . Microsoft Windows Server  
2003, Enterprise Edition  
(32-bit  
x86)
- . Microsoft Windows  
Server 2003, Web Edition
- . Microsoft Exchange Server  
2003 Service Pack 2

"kj [SBS  
MVP]"

<KevinJ.SBS@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

wrote in  
message

[news:eXCT7QX%23HHA.1204@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:eXCT7QX%23HHA.1204@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Gregg  
Hill  
wrote:

Hello!

My  
server  
is  
currently  
SBS  
2003

Re: Question regarding hotfix 905214

Premium  
SP1  
with  
Exchange  
SP2.

I  
have  
a  
question  
regarding  
hotfix  
905214.

When

I  
read  
the  
Exchange  
SP2  
release  
notes  
regarding  
SenderID

at

<http://download.microsoft.com/download/f/b/5/fb5c5>

it

referred

to

the

<http://support.microsoft.com/?kbid=905214>

article,

which

on

8/10/07,

had

a

hotfix

that

one

could

request

(which

I

got

and

installed),

but

it

now

says

that

Re: Question regarding hotfix 905214

the  
fix  
is  
included  
in  
Windows  
Server  
2003  
SP2.  
There  
is  
also  
another  
article,  
<http://support.microsoft.com/kb/914103/en-us>,  
that  
has  
a  
newer  
smtpsvc.dll  
file  
(6.0.3790.2709)  
than  
does  
hotfix  
905214  
(6.0.3790.2499).  
To  
my  
knowledge,  
I  
have  
not  
had  
any  
problem  
with  
SenderID,  
which  
was  
enabled  
after  
installing  
hotfix  
905214.  
Should  
I  
be  
concerned  
about  
SenderID

Re: Question regarding hotfix 905214

Re: Question regarding hotfix 905214

not  
working  
as  
designed?  
I  
am  
not  
aware  
of  
any  
problems  
with  
it.

Gregg  
Hill

As  
I  
read  
it  
914103  
is  
only  
for  
IIS/SMTP  
and  
not  
for  
Exchange.  
Just  
how  
you'd  
get  
there  
I'm  
not  
sure.  
The  
article  
is  
a  
little  
"light  
weight".  
Perhaps  
if  
you  
had  
exchange

Re: Question regarding hotfix 905214

and  
SP2  
installed  
+  
905214,  
then  
later  
removed  
Exchange..???

It  
sounds  
like  
an  
unpatch  
for  
a  
Service  
Pack  
<g>

APPLIES  
TO  
.  
Microsoft  
Internet  
Information  
Services  
6.0

--  
/kj

--  
/kj

--  
/kj

--  
/kj

Re: Question regarding hotfix 905214