

Re: RWW – log off after timeout?

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs/2007-08/msg02267.html>

- *From:* "Merv Porter [SBS-MVP]" <mwport@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 16 Aug 2007 10:00:01 -0400
-

I normally install TightVNC (www.tightvnc.com, a remote control program) on each workstation and put only the "listener" portion on the SBS server.

From the server, this allows me to access their workstation session and

"look over their shoulder" to see if there is any activity. If there appears to be no activity, I can then log them off from within the TightVNC user interface, then close the TightVNC session.

You can also look for activity in these two places in the Server Management Console, although I'm convinced this 100% reliable as I've seen a few times when the info at this places was not accurate. (This is where VNC comes in handy).

Server Management | Advanced Management | Computer Management | System Tools
| Shared Folders | Sessions
Server Management | Advanced Management | Computer Management | System Tools
| Shared Folders | Open Files

--
Merv Porter [SBS-MVP]

=====
"SusanV" <svanallen@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:%23TTognA4HHA.1208@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Hi all,

I have 2 PCs setup for users who are out of the office to connect to via RWW in order to transfer files or whatever, all is working fine, however sometimes a remote user will "forget" to log off, leaving the machine locked. I can unlock it by forcing a logoff, but I hate to do this as I can't tell whether the user is actually active or simply left the session open – on a couple of occasions I've bumped a user who was in the middle of something during a time they are not normally logged on, and needless to say they weren't best pleased.

Is there a way to force a RWW session to log the user off after a set time

Re: RWW – log off after timeout?

of inactivity?

Failing that, is there a way to check if the user is active before bumping them?

I know this is more of a "training" issue, but some refuse to be trained
<g>

TIA,

SusanV