

Re: Anyone using AV, Spam, & content filtering devices?

Re: Anyone using AV, Spam, & content filtering devices?

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs/2007-04/msg02477.html>

- *From:* "Les Connor [SBS MVP]" <les.connor@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 15 Apr 2007 18:13:55 -0500
-

Not free, but Trend CSM for SMB provides all of those, plus A/V file space scanning on all computers, and a management console.

--

Les Connor [SBS MVP]

"Gary V." <garyv@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:1176677238.702696.229580@xx

Thank you all for your input. I think I'll try some of the open source options. I'm not really looking for the firewall part of this as I have isa 2004 running but the AV, spam, and content would be a good thing. As for internal I have auto runs turned off, install not allowed, and only the c drive is visible and at that users can't do much with the c drive.

Anyway thanks to all.
Gary V.

On Apr 15, 9:35 am, Leythos <V...@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

On Sun, 15 Apr 2007 08:45:41 -0700, Russ Grover \(\SBITS.Biz\) wrote:
> As far as I know? Watchguard has only been around since '96 not 30
> years.

You've mistaken part of my statement for being about WG only. I've been working with computers and network for 30 years, although we didn't have anything like what we have now in those days.

> Sorry Leythos I'd have to TOTALLY, Disagree with you that a Firewall is
> 100% Solution for Viruses.

I don't believe that I even remotely suggested that a firewall is 100% effective, and that would contradict everything I preach about security.

Re: Anyone using AV, Spam, & content filtering devices?

The firewall is a great solution for stopping content types from being accessed by HTTP or SMTP or even POP3 depending on the device you use and what services it offers.

In most cases, when using a firewall that filters content you can eliminate about 80% of the threats to a network, and if you properly secure it you can eliminate 99% of the threats from public access (not internal).

> Not taking into account people bringing in Viruses IMO is not thinking >
> "full"
> solution.

My post didn't address that path, not at all, and I didn't suggest that it did.

> I forgot you never did tell me what Company you've been working for 30
> years?
> (I asked you this last month, and you said you were worried about >
> someone
> Hacking into your system?)

I've been working for many companies, as we manage a base of them around the US, and none of them have been compromised following our methods.

I'm always worried, always looking, always checking, and I always assume that we've got a hole someplace in the network.

Now, for what was being addressed, which was external, not internal, a firewall appliance that filters content is a best solution, but it is ONLY PART of that solution.

I think you read more into my post than I wrote.

—

Leythos
spam999f...@xxxxxxxxxxx (remove 999 for proper email address)