

## Re: SBS 2003 Windows Update Question

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs/2007-02/msg03762.html>

---

- *From:* "Justin Brown – SYNACS" <[jcbrown@xxxxxxxx](mailto:jcbrown@xxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* 24 Feb 2007 09:35:41 –0800
- 

In lieu of fixing Microsoft, though, a CD-R copy of the installation media ain't a bad idea.

On Feb 24, 11:26 am, "Susan Bradley, CPA aka Ebitz – SBS Rocks [MVP]" <[sbrad...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:sbrad...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:

I hear you ...but it's near IMPOSSIBLE right now to get downgrade media. Microsoft needs to be fixed first.

allanc wrote:

I am at the clients site now (Sat. 11 am EDT).  
All CD media have 'R2' on the label except Office Outlook, Front Page and the OEM Preinstallation Kit.

He must have 'borrowed' the media from another one of his clients.  
So, the client has SQL 2000 installed but no media for it.  
Now the client is up the creek if we need the CD for any reason.

This is (and has been) very upsetting for both my company and the client.  
There have been other irregularities that I really do not want to go into in this open forum.

On Feb 24, 12:40 am, "Susan Bradley, CPA aka Ebitz – SBS Rocks [MVP]" <[sbrad...@xxxxxxxx](mailto:sbrad...@xxxxxxxx)> wrote:

The downgrade of SBS 2003 R2 premium is SBS 2003 sp1

## Re: SBS 2003 Windows Update Question

premium.

Run MBSA on that server and see what versions you get.

On the Server Management console in the upper right corner (start, top of the start menu is Server management), is there a "R2" logo in the right corner and a "Update Services" button in there?

If so what he did was remove SQL 2005 and install a SQL 2000 sp3 media..  
Now one could argue this is eula violation as the downgrade rights are all or nothing ...but in the mood I'm in after the DST patch fiascos...  
I don't really care. Technically speaking a "downgrade right" to SQL 2000 should have had him rolling back to SBS 2003 sp1 (no R2 WSUS parts) and a new media kit that is darn near impossible to get because Microsoft still hasn't gotten the media fulfillment fixed.

So I'm not going to stab that vendor in the back and say "OH he screwed you up because he didn't get the proper downgrade right media" as he's probably doing the best he can.

Run MBSA. That will tell you what's missing.

<http://www.microsoft.com/technet/security/tools/mbsahome.mspx>

allanc wrote:

Re: SBS 2003 Windows Update Question

The accounting application that we install requires SQL 2000.

In a nutshell another vendor installed SBS 2003 Standard.

We needed the Enterprise Manager and told him 'SBS 2003 Premium with SQL 2000'. The next time we went back on site he somehow had installed SQL 2005.

We complained again and finally he had installed SQL 2000 (with Enterprise Manager).

We tried to migrate our accounting application from an old server to this new one but there were issues when we ran the application.

Based upon advice in this n.g., we insisted that he flatten the server and install SBS 2003 Premium with SQL 2000.

Today, we see that SQL 2000 SP4 has not been installed and are worrying about other service packs, patches, etc.

The sentence above is basically how I started this thread.

So, you are saying that if \*all\* CDs on site read 'R2' on their label then there is no way that SQL 2000 could be legally installed? Could he not have obtained a 'downgrade' (of SQL) and 'forget' to leave it at the client's office?

On Feb 23, 11:29 pm, "Susan Bradley, CPA aka Ebitz – SBS Rocks [MVP]" <sbrad...@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

Oh duh...he did say "R2"

uh then that's slightly in EULA violation..... R2

Re: SBS 2003 Windows Update Question

should have SQL 2005  
but this could be an upgrade  
from SP1

Justin Brown – SYNACS  
wrote:

Just to  
interject... I  
deployed  
SBS 2003  
Release 2 a  
few weeks  
ago,  
and it  
included  
SQL 2005,  
not SQL  
2000.

So the  
Premium  
Technologies  
disc  
included  
ISA 2004  
and SQL  
2005, even  
before the  
R2  
Technologies  
disc was  
applied. Is  
there a  
variation of  
R2 that did  
not come  
with SQL  
2005?

On Feb 23,  
1:20 pm,  
"Susan

Re: SBS 2003 Windows Update Question

Bradley,  
CPA aka  
Ebitz – SBS  
Rocks  
[MVP]"  
<sbrad...@xxxxxxxxxxxx>  
wrote:

WSUS  
–  
the  
patch  
management  
engine.

If  
this  
person  
has  
an  
RTM  
version  
of  
SBS,  
yes  
they  
should  
have  
SP1  
on  
it  
but  
many  
firms  
do  
not  
keep  
up  
on  
service  
packs  
in  
general.  
SBSers  
are  
not  
unique

Re: SBS 2003 Windows Update Question

in  
this.

There  
is  
a  
5  
part  
service  
pack  
for  
SBS  
that  
makes  
up  
sp1  
and  
the  
last  
part  
includes  
SQL  
2000  
sp4.

allanc  
wrote:

WSUSable?  
That  
acronym  
is  
not  
registering  
...  
sorry  
:(.  
So,  
you  
are  
saying  
that  
SBS  
2003  
sp1

Re: SBS 2003 Windows Update Question

is  
supposed  
to  
be  
installed  
with  
the  
Premium  
Edition  
or  
not?  
Again,  
sorry  
for  
my  
confusion.

On  
Feb  
23,  
2:07  
pm,  
"Susan  
Bradley,  
CPA  
aka  
Ebitz  
-  
SBS  
Rocks  
[MVP]"  
<sbred...@xxxxxxxxxxxx>  
wrote:

SQL  
2000  
sp4  
is  
not  
WSUSable  
as  
far  
as  
I  
remember  
(I  
will

Re: SBS 2003 Windows Update Question

confirm  
but  
I'm  
pretty  
sure  
creaky  
SQL  
2000  
can't  
be  
wsus'd  
until  
after  
SP4.  
This  
comes  
in  
via  
SBS  
2003  
sp1  
is  
applied.

allanc  
wrote:

My  
company  
did  
not  
install  
the  
SBS.  
We  
have  
been  
called  
in  
to  
install  
an  
accounting  
app.  
that  
uses  
SQL

Re: SBS 2003 Windows Update Question

server.  
We  
noticed  
that  
the  
SQL  
Server  
2000  
SP4  
was  
not  
installed  
and  
'complained'  
to  
the  
company  
that  
installed  
the  
SBS.  
They  
said  
that  
there  
was  
no  
SQL  
2000  
SP4  
since  
it  
did  
not  
automatically  
appear  
when  
they  
clicked  
on  
Help  
then  
Windows  
Update.  
So,  
to  
summarize  
–  
I  
\*should\*  
be

Re: SBS 2003 Windows Update Question

concerned  
that  
there  
might  
be  
other  
Service  
Packs  
and  
that  
the  
company  
that  
loaded  
the  
SBS  
is  
not  
competent?

On  
Feb  
23,  
11:16  
am,  
dami...@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx  
("Damian  
N  
Leibaschoff  
[MSFT]")  
wrote:

Hi,  
Are  
you  
going  
to  
the  
Windows  
Update  
site  
or  
Microsoft  
Update?  
You  
should  
be

opening  
the  
Microsoft  
Update  
site  
to  
get  
updates  
for  
products  
such  
as  
SQL  
and  
Exchange.

On  
the  
other  
hand,  
if  
they  
are  
running  
with  
the  
R2  
technologies  
CD  
installed,  
then  
the  
automatic  
updates  
client  
would  
be  
looking  
for  
the  
information  
from  
the  
central  
Update  
Services  
location,  
only  
security

Re: SBS 2003 Windows Update Question

and  
critical  
updates  
would  
show  
up  
automatically,  
the  
rest  
would  
need  
to  
be  
approved  
by  
an  
administrator  
for  
installation  
from  
the  
SBS  
Server  
Management  
console,  
Update  
Services  
snap-in,  
Waiting  
for  
Review.

Regards,  
Damian  
Leibaschoff

---

|  
From:  
"allanc"  
<allan.for.g.gro...@xxxxxxx>  
|  
Newsgroups:  
microsoft.public.windows.se  
|  
Subject:  
SBS

Re: SBS 2003 Windows Update Question

2003  
Windows  
Update  
Question  
|  
Date:  
23  
Feb  
2007  
07:02:54  
-0800  
|  
Organization:<http://groups.g>  
|  
Lines:  
12  
|  
Message-ID:  
<1172242974.264023.264...0  
|  
NNTP-Posting-Host:  
74.107.179.220  
|  
Mime-Version:  
1.0  
|  
Content-Type:  
text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
|  
X-Trace:  
posting.google.com  
1172242991  
8837  
127.0.0.1  
(23  
Feb  
2007  
15:03:11  
GMT)  
|  
X-Complaints-To:  
groups-ab...@xxxxxxxxxxx  
|  
NNTP-Posting-Date:  
Fri,  
23  
Feb  
2007  
15:03:11  
+0000

Re: SBS 2003 Windows Update Question

(UTC)  
|  
User-Agent:  
G2/1.0  
|  
X-HTTP-UserAgent:  
Mozilla/4.0  
(compatible;  
MSIE  
6.0;  
Windows  
NT  
5.1;  
.NET  
CLR  
1.1.4322),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)  
|  
Complaints-To:  
groups-ab...@xxxxxxxxxxx  
|  
Injection-Info:  
m58g2000cwm.googlegroup  
posting-host=74.107.179.22  
|  
posting-account=4t0G4g0A.  
|  
Path:  
TK2MSFTNGHUB02.phx.g  
0.sul.t-online.de!t-online.de  
.giganews.com!nntp.giganew  
.com!not-for-mail  
|  
Xref:  
TK2MSFTNGHUB02.phx.g  
microsoft.public.windows.se  
|  
X-Tomcat-NG:  
microsoft.public.windows.se  
|  
|  
A  
client  
has  
SBS  
2003  
Premium  
R2  
installed.  
|  
The  
SQL

Re: SBS 2003 Windows Update Question

Server  
is  
2000  
version  
RTM.  
|  
|  
When  
we  
check  
for  
Windows  
Updates,  
service  
pack  
4  
for  
SQL  
2000  
\*is  
not  
|  
displayed\*.  
|  
That  
does  
not  
seem  
correct.  
|  
|  
Also,  
if  
the  
Windows  
Update  
is  
not  
functioning  
properly  
for  
SQL  
|  
Server,  
should  
I  
also  
be  
concerned  
about  
Exchange,

etc?  
|  
|  
TIA.  
|  
|—  
Hide  
quoted  
text  
—

—  
Show  
quoted  
text  
—  
—  
Hide  
quoted  
text  
—

— Show quoted text — Hide  
quoted text —

— Show quoted text — Hide quoted text —

— Show quoted text —