

# Firewalls

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs/2007-02/msg02142.html>

---

- *From:* "Tom" <[schweg@xxxxxxx](mailto:schweg@xxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Wed, 14 Feb 2007 19:45:13 -0600
- 

I have a client who has had another vendor recommend they purchase a cisco router/firewall for their SBS 2003 standard installation. The client uses DSL. The other vendor feels there should also be a separate VPN authentication server and perhaps move the Exchange to a separate server, all to accommodate future growth. This installation has 15 users. I am curious to know what anyone would think about the Cisco purchase; if Standard is safe enough (it seems to be for all my other clients on the Standard version of SBS 2003) and if moving them to Premium would be a reasonable alternative to the Cisco hardware purchase? If they did go the Cisco route, I may suggest they just go to a T1 line with would probably include the Cisco hardware. for the record, the suggestions (i.e moving Exchange to another server) seem to miss the point of SBS in the first place, but I don't want to get into a battle with the other people.

Thanks for any comments,  
Tom