

# Re: Virtual SBS2003 R2 Test Machine Hosted by WXP X64 SP2

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs/2006-12/msg02737.html>

---

- *From:* "Les Connor [SBS MVP]" <[les.connor@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:les.connor@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 15 Dec 2006 09:19:12 -0600
- 

Does that licensing apply to Server 2k3 EE as well, or only R2?

---

Les Connor [SBS MVP]

"Charlie Russel - MVP" <[charlie@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:charlie@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:u6PhyJBIHHA.816@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:u6PhyJBIHHA.816@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Server 2k3 EE is not particularly more or less efficient. BUT, it has an interesting VM licensing difference, which I'm sure you're aware of.

---

Charlie.

<http://msmvps.com/xperts64>

"Gary J. Dikkema" <[gary\\_d-no-spam-@xxxxxxx](mailto:gary_d-no-spam-@xxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:e9jBe2vHHHA.3872@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:e9jBe2vHHHA.3872@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Interesting Charlie!

Is one more efficient than the other at this hosting task?

I chose the EE Trial thinking I might want to try running multiple versions of VS Server running and hosting.

Thanks!

"Charlie Russel - MVP" <[charlie@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:charlie@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:5D4B132E-CF02-433F-8B9E-26887BBE1B37@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:5D4B132E-CF02-433F-8B9E-26887BBE1B37@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Not my experience at all. I have x64 EE as my hosting environment as well on one box. Other is still running XP x64 because it needs a legacy app that is ported to XP x64, but not to Vista x64 or LH Server.

Re: Virtual SBS2003 R2 Test Machine Hosted by WXP X64 SP2

--

Charlie.

<http://msmvps.com/xperts64>

"Gary J. Dikkema" <gary\_d-no-spam-@xxxxxxx> wrote in  
messa