

## Re: Using 2000 SBS on a 2003 Standard Domain

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs/2006-08/msg04993.html>

---

- *From:* "Charlie Russel – MVP" <[charlie@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:charlie@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Fri, 25 Aug 2006 08:26:00 -0700
- 

You can't move the AD off of SBS. Period. But you could add Server 2k3 as a secondary domain controller, and have it do Print and File, and VPNs. You should keep DHCP with your SBS box, and DNS should be AD integrated and thus present on both boxes. You could certainly set the DHCP to have your new server as the primary DNS for clients, though I wouldn't normally recommend it. But if for some reason the new, secondary, domain controller had to be removed, it's easy enough to deal with.

VPNs – you can put this wherever you want. So if you move it off to the new box, that's fine. But you won't have the CEICW to configure stuff for you there, I'm afraid. :(

--

Charlie.

<http://msmvps.com/xperts64>

newsgroups@xxxxxxxxxxx wrote:

I have a situation where we have outgrown a Windows 2000 SBS Server. We have about 50 clients, 9 VPN connections, print & file sharing and spam filtering on top of all of the other SBS functions & we are running out of drive space fast. We have added new drives already and at this point it is not an option to add more drives. What I would like to do is add a new Windows 2003 Standard Edition Server as the PDC to do AD, DHCP, DNS, VPNs Print and File sharing and then keep the 2000 SBS server to just handle exchange and spam filtering and disable all other features. I do understand that the 2000 server cannot run as a BDC and will not share the AD. What sort of problems am I going to run into by doing this?