

## Re: isaserver.org gets SBS friendly

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs/2005-08/msg07308.html>

---

- *From:* "Dvord Direwood" <[dvord@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:dvord@xxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Thu, 25 Aug 2005 10:09:14 -0700
- 

Frank,

I appreciate your comment. Let me take your metaphor a little further. What you're suggesting is that I buy your convertible because it will take me down the road and it's cheaper than a car with a roof. When I get down the road and it starts raining, the roof does not come out, I get soaked, and my electronics burn out, and I'm stranded by the roadside.

Taking that one step further, I come back to you and say "well this won't work for me, because I want to drive AND stay dry." However, I can't return the car, and I can't upgrade the car for a discount. I have to put the car in the field and buy the car I really needed in the first place, spending more than I would have anyway.

I appreciate the work they have done with SBS. A rose by any other name is still a rose, and putting multiple services on the same platform is still bad news.

Microsoft says SBS will run your business web site. However, they do not say that this web site is more vulnerable to attack than any other IIS implementation. Other MVP's say themselves that you should not run IIS publically with SBS. It's a security risk. Why market it that way?

SBS might meet the budget needs of small business, but it snowballs technology neophytes into a product which flaunts many features but in fact only delivers on half, and does so with frequent downtime and unpatchable vulnerabilities.

When you market a product to do something, and imply (by your brand), that it is of high quality; then fail to deliver those features, knowingly distribute it against common security practice, and furthermore do not have an upgrade path out of that product line: it's at best misrepresentative, and at it's worst criminal.

"Frank McCallister SBS MVP" <anonymous> wrote in message [news:ew3OoKZqFHA.616@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:ew3OoKZqFHA.616@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Re: isaserver.org gets SBS friendly

> Hi David  
>  
> I own a convertible and that is kind of like saying I shouldn't lock my  
> car because the thief will just cut the top :->) SBS Development team has  
> put a great deal of time and research into integrating the extra products  
> into SBS for just that, small businesses. Granted in a perfect world or  
> unlimited budget we would have a separate server for each function,  
> Exchange, ISA, AD, Sharepoint, etc. This meets the Enterprise standard.  
> SBS meets the budget needs for small businesses with 5 – 75 users who also  
> have need for firewalls to protect their data. When SBS migrated from  
> W2000 to W2003 MS did remove one major security risk by removing TS App  
> mode from the server and required a member server for this function. They  
> added Remote Web Workplace (unique to SBS) so that a TS would not be  
> required in many cases.  
>  
> --  
> Frank McCallister SBS MVP  
> COMPUMAC  
> "Dvord Direwood" <dvord@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
> [news:%23w2\\$Y8YqFHA.2696@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:%23w2$Y8YqFHA.2696@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>> I'm pretty dubious about that myself, since a well-known,  
>> not-to-be-named-by-me MVP committed to security has said that Domain  
>> Controllers and ISA Services DO NOT MIX. If isserver.org is really  
>> committed to security, I don't see why they should support SBS unless  
>> Microsoft has changed SBS to allow ISA to NOT run on the same box as the  
>> DC.  
>>  
>>  
>> "Steve Flynn" <steve@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>> [news:e9omEuYqFHA.2076@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:e9omEuYqFHA.2076@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>>> Hi all,  
>>>  
>>> There is a new article (and promised future series of articles) at  
>>> <http://www.isaserver.org/articles/200sbsinstallpart1.html> on running ISA  
>>> server 2004 on SBS 2004 SP1.  
>>>  
>>> Well worth a read for SBS admins, written by Tom Schinder, one of the  
>>> top ISA gurus. It's interesting to get the view from a dedicated  
>>> ISA/firewall person on the security compromises we have to live with in  
>>> the SBS community running everything on the one box. Schinder admits  
>>> that isaserver.org has not always been the friendliest place in the past  
>>> for SBS and promises this will change in future, which is a good thing  
>>> because isaserver.org has always been one of the best resources for ISA  
>>> server related info and promises to be even more useful in future with  
>>> more content on SBS/ISA scenarios.  
>>>  
>>> Regards  
>>>  
>>> Steve Flynn  
>>> MCSE, MCDBA  
>>>

>>  
>>  
>  
>

.

---

• **Follow-Ups:**

- ◆ **Re: isaserver.org gets SBS friendly**  
    ◇ From: Steve Foster [SBS MVP]
- ◆ **Re: isaserver.org gets SBS friendly**  
    ◇ From: Frank McCallister SBS MVP

• **References:**

- ◆ **isaserver.org gets SBS friendly**  
    ◇ From: Steve Flynn
- ◆ **Re: isaserver.org gets SBS friendly**  
    ◇ From: Dvord Direwood
- ◆ **Re: isaserver.org gets SBS friendly**  
    ◇ From: Frank McCallister SBS MVP

- Prev by Date: **Re: Can NT 4.0 Workstation Join SBS 2003 Domain?**
- Next by Date: **Can't Add Client Comptuer**
- Previous by thread: **Re: isaserver.org gets SBS friendly**
- Next by thread: **Re: isaserver.org gets SBS friendly**
- Index(es):
  - ◆ **Date**
  - ◆ **Thread**