

Re: SBS 2003 SP1 + June updates: Server Performance Report: "No da

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs/2005-06/msg07081.html>

- *From:* "David Beck" <dave@xxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 26 Jun 2005 10:15:32 +0100
-

Hi Fulco,

I've been monitoring this and your previous thread as I had exactly the same problem. I finally fixed it yesterday but I'm not sure how!!!!.

I don't have regular direct access to the server so have been trying various fixes via remote desktop over VPN. None of these have worked. Yesterday I had chance to work on the server directly and was going to try the "remove and reinstall monitoring and reporting" suggestion I've seen.

I started the process from add/remove programs selecting SBS2003 and got the first dialog of the "part 2" setup process. This states the process will take about 30 minutes, which I didn't have at the time so cancelled out. I logged out of the server and returned 2 to 3 hours later to start again and checked the monitoring page within server management. I don't know why but it's now working perfectly!!

I've three theories,

- 1) I was working with the main administrator account. I usually use a user account that's a member of the domain admin group
- 2) Something about the admin account logging locally has reset something that wasn't reset by logging via remote desktop.
- 3) Starting the SBS setup process has reset something.

Other than that I'm baffled, but happy that the reports working correctly again.

Experts – does any of this make any sense or give you any clue's?

Dave

"Fulco" <Fulco@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:51CEC56E-9903-4128-A5AD-2FF9BFC813C9@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

> Hi,

>

> Yes, I did install SBS 2003 followed by SBS 2003 SP1 as described in the

> documentation.

Re: SBS 2003 SP1 + June updates: Server Performance Report: "No da

> I didn't install SUS until last.
>
> Again it is a clean Installed SBS 2003 with SP1 system.
> This was 3 weeks ago.
> These 3 weeks it functioned OK.
>
> So I am convinced SUS has noting to do with it.
>
> The following patches:
> Update for Windows Server 2003 (KB898715)
> Security Update for Windows Server 2003 (KB896422)
> Security Update for Windows Server 2003 (KB896358)
> Security Update for Windows Server 2003 (KB890046)
> Security Update for Windows Server 2003 (KB883939)
> Security Update for Windows Server 2003 (KB896428)
>
> After these patches where installed the symptom ("No data....") occurred.
>
>
> Fulco
>
>
> "Susan Bradley, CPA aka Ebitz – SBS Rocks" wrote:
>
>> SUS doesn't install SBS sp1 merely the Windows 2003 sp1 part
>>
>> Are you sure you installed ALL 5 PARTS of the SP1 install?
>>
>> Fulco wrote:
>>
>> >Hi,
>> >
>> >Some additional information:
>> >
>> >1) I still use SUS. Spend last weeks reinstalling, and had no time to
>> >install WSUS.
>> >
>> >2) I used the information in these newsgroup before. One or two threads
>> >I
>> >started.
>> >
>> >3) We are talking about a clean Install of SBS 2003, followed by an
>> >Update
>> >to SBS 2003 SP1.
>> >No User/Groups/AD Info were transferred, everything build from scratch,
>> >including Format c:
>> >
>> >4) The issue started direct after the June (14) updates. And of course
>> >SP1
>> >was already installed. Nothing else was Installed or Updated, the server
>> >was

Re: SBS 2003 SP1 + June updates: Server Performance Report: "No da

Re: SBS 2003 SP1 + June updates: Server Performance Report: "No da

>> >running OK for a few weeks.
>> >
>> >5) The only related (Application Event), which was there, from the start
>> >(clean install!!!):
>> >
>> >Event Type: Warning
>> >Event Source: WinMgmt
>> >Event Category: None
>> >Event ID: 5603
>> >Date: 17/06/2005
>> >Time: 13:51:38
>> >User: NT AUTHORITY\SYSTEM
>> >Computer: HAMLET
>> >Description:
>> >A provider, PerfProv, has been registered in the WMI namespace,
>> >ROOT\CIMV2\MicrosoftHealthMonitor\PerfMon, but did not specify the
>> >HostingModel property. This provider will be run using the LocalSystem
>> >account. This account is privileged and the provider may cause a
>> >security
>> >violation if it does not correctly impersonate user requests. Ensure
>> >that
>> >provider has been reviewed for security behavior and update the
>> >HostingModel
>> >property of the provider registration to an account with the least
>> >privileges
>> >possible for the required functionality.
>> >
>> >For more information, see Help and Support Center at
>> ><http://go.microsoft.com/fwlink/events.asp>.
>> >
>> >Maybe a security related issue changed by the June update?
>> >
>> >
>> >Fulco
>> >
>> >
>> >"Harry Corry" wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >>>SUS (i assume you are using WSUS (if not why not)) doesn't just install
>> >>>Win 2003 Server SP1, you must approve it.
>> >>>It is ok to install this SP if you install all of the other parts of
>> >>>SBS
>> >>>2003 SP1. you didn't need to do a complete reinstall.
>> >>>
>> >>>Before you do anymore damage, change WSUS to not automatically approve
>> >>>updates, or to not update the server.
>> >>>
>> >>>then do a search in this newsgroup for the error "No data is available
>> >>>for this section. Ensure that the Windows Management Instrumentation

Re: SBS 2003 SP1 + June updates: Server Performance Report: "No da

Re: SBS 2003 SP1 + June updates: Server Performance Report: "No da

>> >>service is running."
>> >>
>> >>there are at least two thread aside from this one, that may help you.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>good luck.
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>Fulco wrote:
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>>I am getting desperate:
>> >>>
>> >>>I spend weeks trying to fix our SBS 2003 server after Microsoft SUS
>> >>>installed SP1 for Windows Server 2003. That resulted in several
>> >>>problems.
>> >>>The damaged Server Report was the one I couldn't fix.
>> >>>(see several posts in this newsgroup)
>> >>>
>> >>>After al that frustration I decided to reinstall the whole system!!
>> >>>
>> >>>After several issues , and many weeks of work in total, I ended up
>> >>>with an
>> >>>almost Error/Warning free installation on SBS 2003 Standard with SP1.
>> >>>
>> >>>Yesterday SUS installed the Microsoft fixes from June 14.
>> >>>Now, after the restart, the original problem the "damaged Server
>> >>>Report"
>> >>>error is back!!!
>> >>>
>> >>>In the daily Server Report:
>> >>>"No data is available for this section. Ensure that the Windows
>> >>>Management
>> >>>Instrumentation service is running."
>> >>>
>> >>>Please help.
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>Fulco
>> >>>
>> >>>
>> >>>
>>
>> --
>> An open letter to the Security Community::
>> <http://msmvps.com/bradley/archive/2004/12/12/23540.aspx>
>>

Re: SBS 2003 SP1 + June updates: Server Performance Report: "No da

- **References:**

- ◆ **SBS 2003 SP1 + June updates: Server Performance Report: "No data i**
◇ From: Fulco
- ◆ **Re: SBS 2003 SP1 + June updates: Server Performance Report: "No data i**
◇ From: Harry Corry
- ◆ **Re: SBS 2003 SP1 + June updates: Server Performance Report: "No da**
◇ From: Fulco
- ◆ **Re: SBS 2003 SP1 + June updates: Server Performance Report: "No da**
◇ From: Susan Bradley, CPA aka Ebitz – SBS Rocks [MVP]
- ◆ **Re: SBS 2003 SP1 + June updates: Server Performance Report: "No da**
◇ From: Fulco

- Prev by Date: **Re: Exchange Non-Delivery Error**
- Next by Date: **Re: Text search fails**
- Previous by thread: **Re: SBS 2003 SP1 + June updates: Server Performance Report: "No da**
- Next by thread: **SBS to new Hardware**
- Index(es):
 - ◆ **Date**
 - ◆ **Thread**