

## Re: XP SP2

**Source:**

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs/2004-08/2780.html>

---

**From:** Kevin Weilbacher [SBS-MVP] (*kweilbacMVP\_at\_gte.net*)

**Date:** 08/09/04

Date: Mon, 9 Aug 2004 01:10:59 -0400

Well, root — since they did publish it to MSDN on the date they said they would, I don't have any reason to suspect that they will not meet their release of SP2 in stages, as posted.

--

Kevin Weilbacher [SBS-MVP]

"The days pass by so quickly now, the nights are seldom long"

"root" <postmaster@buchanangc.com> wrote in message

news:OTGijqcfEHA.3632@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...

>

> "Susan Bradley, CPA aka Ebitz - SBS Rocks [MVP]" <sbradcpa@pacbell.net>

> wrote in message news:%23IHnrecfEHA.2812@tk2msftngp13.phx.gbl...

>> CIS: Digital Signatures:

>> <http://theory.lcs.mit.edu/~cis/signatures/signatures.html>

>

> That site confirms my claim that a valid digital signature makes the SP2

> file safe to use.

>

>> Given that the file will be available beginning tomorrow, the prudent and

>> risk adverse person waits until the official download site has the

>> download rather than going to "any" fileswapping site regardless of the

>> ability to confirm the digital signature of the file.

>

> I reject your analysis. It remains to be seen what the real availability

> will be tomorrow.

>

>> Why take the risk

>

> There's no risk.

>

>> when the file will be on a trusted source on Monday?

>> I got access to the bits mainly because I volunteered to beta test it.

>>

>> Robert Hensing Security PSS tells stories of small businesses getting

>> backdoor trojans installed on their machines because someone went to a

>> P\_rn site and downloaded files.

>

> A person who wasn't competent and didn't determine the authenticity of the

> file.

> Another chicken little fairy tale.

>

>> Why take the risk

>

> There's no risk.

>

Re: XP SP2

microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs: Re: XP SP2

>> is all I'm saying when it will be available on Monday.  
>> For most of out here we don't have test computers and servers and thus  
>> we shouldn't be taking unnecessary risks with our systems.  
>  
> There's no risk.  
>  
>> Why travel to the "slums" and possibly get your car broken into when you  
>> can pick up what you need from the proper company tomorrow?  
>  
> That's a completely disingenuous comment that simply deserves...CLUELESS.  
>  
>> <http://www.mediapost.com/dt1s dsp news.cfm?newsID=258535>  
>  
> And that drops below the level of politeness to sl\*\*\*\*  
>  
>> root wrote:  
>> > "clevere" <noway@sorry.net> wrote in message  
>> > news:%23IARcObfEHA.3888@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...  
>> >  
>> >>I wouldn't call us Chicken Littles.. I'd call us smart. Signatures can  
> be  
>> >>modified.  
>> >  
>> >  
>> > No they can't. Wanna cite a reference?  
>> >  
>> >  
>> >>"Susan Bradley, CPA aka Ebitz - SBS Rocks [MVP]" <sbradcpa@pacbell.net>  
>> >>wrote in message news:uFWQ\$XafEHA.2028@tk2msftngp13.phx.gbl...  
>> >>  
>> >>>It will be there tomorrow on the download site. The chicken littles  
>> >>>stay way from fileswapping sites, digital sigs or not.  
>> >>  
>> >>  
>> >  
>> >  
>> >  
>> --  
>> <http://www.sbslinks.com/really.htm>  
>  
>