

Re: Panda A/V AdminSecure

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs/2004-07/0449.html>

From: Pat (pat_at_reemoovethismp-m.com)

Date: 07/02/04

Date: Fri, 2 Jul 2004 00:00:29 -0400

With everything working as it should, I would say it's a very good solution. It's a significant improvement over the old Panda Administrator. As Lefteris indicated, the new interface is very nice and pretty intuitive, and you can do just about every you need to remotely: install client AV; scan clients, monitor client status. The one exception is with Win98 clients: those must be manually installed (I believe). Also, I believe clients actually pull for new AV signature files (rather than it being pushed by the server).

Infoworld recently did a review of it. You can see it here:

http://www.infoworld.com/article/04/01/30/05TCantivirus_1.html

Also, I must add that I've only used Panda. So I can't really say how it compares to other products. But if I were going to do it again, I would probably give Trend Micro's offering a closer look. From what I've heard it's pretty good and is used by a lot of people in the newsgroup (good from a support standpoint). Also, in this Infoworld review, it was actually given a higher rating than Panda, although I think that was mostly due (coincidentally) some connection issues the reviewer encounter when setting it up.

hth,

Pat

"Dale" <dayton_dale@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:umQIAb9XEHA.3076@TK2MSFTNGP10.phx.gbl...

- > Now that you've got that updating, Pat I'd like to ask you what you think of
- > Panda AV as a network AV solution? Is it easy to manage? Can you push
- > updates, scans, client installs? I'm using Panda products for my personal
- > PC but SAV at the office for our network. At some point we are going to
- > renew support for SAV so I'd like to consider Panda as an alternative.
- > Would you recommend it?
- >
- >

> "Pat" <pat@reemoovethismp-m.com> wrote in message
> news:%23aAUT88XEHA.1652@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...
> > The username wasn't the problem.
> >
> > Working with someone from Panda tech support today (who was familiar
with
> > ISA) we finally got it working. A packet filter is needed to open port
53
> > (which Panda updates through) and which, in fact, I already had set up.
> > However, you have to specify port 53 for both the "local" and "remote"
> > connections. I had specified 53 for the local, but used "all ports"
for
> > the remote. Well, due to a bug in ISA (according to the Panda rep) "all
> > ports" won't work – you have to actually put in a fixed port number (53
in
> > this case) in order for it to work. I wouldn't have believed it except
> > now
> > it's working, and before it wasn't. So I guess he was right. It's
very
> > irksome though. I spend two days pulling my hair out trying to figure
out
> > what was wrong, and it turns out to be a ISA bug.
> >
> > BTW, in my proxy settings I just have the username (without server
> prefix)
> > and it seems to work fine.
> >
> > Thanks everyone for the all the help. I really appreciated it. –Pat
> >
> >
> >
> > "Bill Carn" <anonymous@discussions.microsoft.com> wrote in message
> > news:247f501c45fa4\$a67e8040\$a301280a@phx.gbl...
> > > When you open AdminSecure Console click Tools and then
> > > Updates and Repositories. Next check Automatically
> > > Downloading Updates, and click Access Settings.
> > > In this panel there is one place that will drive you
> > > crazy. In the box for User Name you must put the server
> > > name then the username. i.e. myserver\administrator
> > >
> > > That should take care of it.
> > >
> > > bc
> > > >-----Original Message-----
> > > > Thanks again for the reply. I've tried using the proxy
> > > > option in
> > > > AdminSecure several times, but it doesn't seem to work.
> > > > The only thing I
> > > > wasn't sure of was the exact format to use for the proxy
> > > > server name (in
> > > > proxy settings). Normally in SBS it's just the server

> > > *name. Panda tech*
> > > *support suggesting trying <http://servername> and said a*
> > > *username might be*
> > > *needed as well, but neither of those worked. The odd*
> > > *thing is that Internet*
> > > *Explorer works perfectly with the proxy server (as did*
> > > *the old Panda*
> > > *Administrator) which makes me think it's something in*
> > > *AdminSecure that is*
> > > *wrong. But I could be wrong.*
> > >
> > > *I'm not sure how to create a listener (it's not*
> > > *something I've ever done).*
> > > *Is this something simple enough for a novice to do? If*
> > > *so, I'm willing to*
> > > *give it a try if you can point me in the right direction.*
> > >
> > > *Thanks, -Pat*
> > >
> > >
> > > *"Lefteris Vladimirov (MCT)" <notvalid@antispam.com>*
> > > *wrote in message*
> > > *news:eXIa6z2XEHA.3716@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...*
> > > > *Try using the proxy option. This should be working,*
> > > > *unless there is some*
> > > > *setting on ISA Server configuration not allowing this.*
> > > *Try adding a*
> > > *listener*
> > > > *for the internal (loopback - 127.0.0.1) in case*
> > > *nothing seems to go*
> > > *through*
> > > > *the local web proxy service. Test using internet*
> > > *explorer on the sbs. It*
> > > > *should be able to see the internet once you make him a*
> > > *web proxy client on*
> > > > *himself (use the listener you created - 127.0.0.1:8080*
> > > *to redirect the*
> > > > *requests there).*
> > > >
> > > > *Lefteris*
> > > >
> > > > *--*
> > > > *Lefteris Vladimirov*
> > > > *Microsoft Certified Trainer*
> > > > *MCSA, MCSE: Security*
> > > > *MCSA: Messaging*
> > > >
> > > > *New Horizons Athens*
> > > > *-----*
> > > > *Post a reply to the newsgroups so that others may*
> > > *benefit from the*

>>>> discussion
>>>> -----
>>>> "Pat" <pat@reemoovethismp-m.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:%23BJtpQyXEHA.3520@TK2MSFTNGP10.phx.gbl...
>>>> Thanks for the reply.
>>>>>
>>>>> I originally tried the proxy server, but ran into
>>> problems with that
>>> >also.
>>>>> The only way I've been able to connect at all is
>>> with this option
>>>>> unselected. So I'm not sure what's going on with my
>>> system. I wonder
>>> >if
>>>>> there could be some remanents of the old Panda
>>> Administrator causing
>>> >this
>>>>> behavior. It's hard to tell.
>>>>>
>>>>> Thanks again for your help. -Pat
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> "Lfteris Vladimiros (MCT)" <notvalid@antispam.com>
>>> wrote in message
>>>>> news:uaHhZNXEHA.2840@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...
>>>>>> If I remember correctly these ports are 8003 for
>>> http access.
>>>>>> Check their website. When you go to manually
>>> download an update, check
>>>>>> internet explorer, there you will see the
>>> http://URL:port.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> but even so, your SBS with ISA should have no
>>> problem to connect to
>>> >the
>>>>>> web
>>>>>>> site for updates, if you use the "use proxy
>>> server" feature of the
>>> >panda
>>>>>>> update.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> --
>>>>>>> Lfteris Vladimiros
>>>>>>> Microsoft Certified Trainer
>>>>>>> MCSA, MCSE: Security
>>>>>>> MCSA: Messaging
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> New Horizons Athens
>>>>>>> -----
>>>>>>> Note: Do not send me a direct email reply cause

