

microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs: Re: Wish me luck – you probably won't see much of me over the weekend.

Re: Wish me luck – you probably won't see much of me over the weekend.

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs/2004-02/6600.html>

From: SuperGumby (*not_at_your.nellie*)

Date: 02/28/04

Date: Sat, 28 Feb 2004 12:43:37 +1100

NAH, I'm not 'moving' the install, doing (done actually) a clean install on the target. I couldn't see any advantage in any form of migration, there's currently 1 local workstation and it's been flattened by becoming the server.

I think if I had moved the install it would have been a breeze though, both mobo's are SuperMicro 440BX's, P6SBS (coincidentally) in the /500 and a P6DBE for the dual /850.

I'm currently posting from the old server but the new one's up. Two problems so far, the RAID is complaining about one of the drives (or, more likely I think, a drive which was previously attached), I'm just rebuilding the array after stuffing around with it a bit. TREND!!!, the lousy cow. Trend didn't like me using the domain name (lc.lan, I live in Lane Cove and am very imaginative :), so I had to deinstall and use the IP for the IIS part of Trend.

The '13 minute' issue took about 45 minutes but no manual intervention was required.

Why do people insist on stuffing DHCP setup? I had the internal interface deliberately connected to a NAT router with DHCP enabled. The install wizard says something like 'Are you sure you don't want me to handle DHCP? Please disable your other DHCP source and allow me to do this for you', so I ignored it and told it to continue and it says 'LOOK. You're being really stupid. I mean I'd REALLY REALLY TRULY like to be your DHCP source, PLEASE disable the other DHCP server.', or words to that effect, I disabled DHCP on the router.

OHHH, and I'm kicking m'self. I was half asleep at the time so that's my excuse and I'm sticking to it. I forgot to make the 2nd partition and was too lazy by the time I noticed to start again, she's running on a single 9GB partition. I'll move databases and such when I put the other drives in.

--

Mick Malloy

Re: Wish me luck – you probably won't see much of me over the weekend.

microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs: Re: Wish me luck – you probably won't see much of me over the weekend.

<http://www.micropol.com.au>

"Andy Goodman [SBS-MVP] a.k.a HandyAndy" <Andy@l2c4pc.com> wrote in message news:uhaD5aT\$DHA.2072@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...

> Hey SuperG,

>

> Please document the part where you switch HALS during the ghosting process
> from SCSI-Raid to IDE. I have heard of others saying it can be done, but
> would like to know how it is done.

>

> Thankx, Good luck with the Project!

> HA

>

> "SuperGumby" <not@your.nellie> wrote in message

> news:OUQqwo\$DHA.2072@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...

> though I might have to throw together any old OS and put it on a spare box
> to access the newsgroups, should anything go wrong.

>

> I'm biting the bullet.

>

> I have two PC's in the LoungeAN, the old PIII/500 has been a faithful
> SBS2000 for about 2yrs but her days are limited. My workstation has been
the

> almost as old Dual PIII/850, a nice little workhorse.

>

> Well the RAID controller is going into the dual 850 box and she's getting
a

> facelift to SBS2003 Standard, two NIC's, NAT router, dynamic ip(cable).

>

> Many of you will think I'm nuts when I suggest the RAID array is going to
be

> a pair of 9GB SCSI's giving me a 5GB C: for the OS and 4GB P: where the
SBS

> program files I can move during install will sit, but I've run through

> VMWare a couple of times and this fits nicely. Once she's up and running
the

> idea is to ghost her to the drives out of SBS2000 which will eventually
run

> as an IDE RAID1 80GB D: for data. HEY, the two 9's are just hangin' around

> waitin' to get dumpstered, I just want to use them for something useful,

> they'll probably die on me in a few months at which time I'll have to put
> bigger ones in. Yes, I'd actually prefer to run RAID5, probably will at

some

> point.

>

> SO, I won't have a workstation until I decommission SBS2K and reinstall
some

> OS on her (probably W2K3 as TS).

>

> I'll try to document the whole thing, but as many of you are probably
aware,

> documentation ain't my strongest suit.

>

> --

> Mick Malloy

> <http://www.micropol.com.au>

>

>

>

Re: Wish me luck – you probably won't see much of me over the weekend.