

Re: Raid and SBS 2003

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.sbs/2004-02/3493.html>

From: John LeMay (jlemay_at_njmc.com)

Date: 02/19/04

Date: Wed, 18 Feb 2004 21:01:07 -0500

In article <#B0NiKo9DHA.1804@TK2MSFTNGP12.phx.gbl>, root@buchanangc.com says...

> > *Bottom line, RAID 5 is not necessarily faster than RAID 1.*

>

> *RAID 1 is two drives. RAID 5 is three or more so your assertion is*

> *meaningless.*

>

What??? The whole point of this thread has been the speed of various RAID options. How on earth does the fact that RAID 5 requires three drives over RAID 1's two drives (duh) have any effect on my statement that RAID 5 is not necessarily faster than RAID 1?

I was starting to think I was completely off base here, but now I'm thinking very much otherwise.

--

John LeMay

kc2kth

Senior Technical Manager

NJMC | <http://www.njmc.com> | Phone 732-557-4848