

Re: Error: Protocol Not Valid for HTTP. Might Be Because Port Number Is Out of Range.

Re: Error: Protocol Not Valid for HTTP. Might Be Because Port Number Is Out of Range.

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.networking/2009-04/msg00229.html>

- *From:* "Ace Fekay [Microsoft Certified Trainer]" <aceman@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Fri, 24 Apr 2009 09:35:31 -0400
-

"pbd22" <dushkin@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:893c3a50-392a-437f-9c10-92f7da91a2d9@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

OK, thanks for that.

I tried netstat - ano and looked up PID "4" (which pointed to port 80) and there was no PID "4" in task manager. PID 4 was the only port 80 process according to netstat so, I am wondering if an overloaded port isn't the answer to my original question?

I am still perplexed by this error. Under what conditions might the network think that a port number which is obviously within bounds might be out of range (assuming a competing process is not the answer)?

===
PDB22,

Do you have any apps installed that use web-based administration? I've seen some apps, such as McAfee ePo, and many others, use their own built-in Apache installations for them selves, which I've found in some cases conflict.

Kind of unusual that a PID doesn't show up. I assume you have it set to view processes from all users? Have you looked at Process explorer?

Ace

.