

# Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.networking/2005-06/msg00418.html>

---

- *From:* <fc9a9f82-2129692850@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Fri, 17 Jun 2005 14:33:09 +0200
- 

Hi

I havent been given the time to try out your suggestions yet. I hope to do that next week.

The machines that is having problems are both w2k pro and wxp pro and the client machines log we sent was from a wxp pro and I think that was a swedish operation system. So I will have to continue this with you as there is no Swedish Microsoft support forum. If this will be a issue i will get there and install an english client to be doing the errorsearching on.

You said that the Win2Ks log is a non english version, if you are referring to the Windows 2000 server in site A (Server A) that is a English installation, the w2k3 server is an English version too, the wxp pro client is the only non-english system that we are involving in this problemsolving situation...

I will get back to you as soon as possible with more answeres.

Best Regards

Perra

"Amanda Wang [MSFT]" <v-amanwa@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message [news:7niWZbzcFHA.3404@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:7niWZbzcFHA.3404@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

> Hi,

>

> How are things going on your side after trying my previous suggestions?

>

> I have performed further research on your issue and found the following

> things we need to confirm:

>

> 1. Make sure that all systems are up to the latest service packs because  
> there was a DFS performance issue in your previous post which had been  
> resolved by hotfix in SP2 for Windows 2000.

>

> 2. In my last reply I have checked userenv.log file and Win2k3's Network  
> MPSReport and given the related suggestions on them. However, after I  
> checked the Win2k's MPSReport today, I found it is a non-ENG version, we  
> will provide a best effort on this issue and you may need to seek  
> assistance from local product support team so that you can get the most

Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...

> efficient support on it.  
>  
> I suggest you contact the local PSS or newsgroup. Currently we offer  
> Partner newsgroups in the following languages:  
>  
> English – <http://members.microsoft.com/partner/newsgroups/default.aspx>  
> Simplified Chinese – : <http://www.mspartnersupport.com> Traditional  
> Chinese  
> – <http://www.microsoft.com/taiwan/community>  
> Japanese  
> – [http://communities.microsoft.com/newsgroups/default.asp?ICP=JPN\\_DA&sLCID=jp](http://communities.microsoft.com/newsgroups/default.asp?ICP=JPN_DA&sLCID=jp)  
> German  
> – [http://communities.microsoft.com/newsgroups/default.asp?icp=Germany PSS VAP](http://communities.microsoft.com/newsgroups/default.asp?icp=Germany_PSS_VAP)  
> &slcid=de  
> Spanish – visit  
> <http://www.microsoft.com/spain/partner/soporte/gruposnoticias/default.asp>  
> for instructions to access Spanish partner newsgroups Portuguese –  
> <http://www.microsoft.com/brasil/parceiros/sup/for/default.aspx> for  
> instructions to access Portuguese partner newsgroups Greek –  
> <http://www.microsoft.com/hellas/partner/default.asp> for instructions to  
> access Greek partner newsgroups.  
>  
> If you are posting in a language that is not listed here, please visit  
> [www.microsoft.com/partner](http://www.microsoft.com/partner) and click on the Worldwide Sites link to find  
> the  
> appropriate resources for your language.  
>  
> Thanks for your understanding.  
>  
> Thanks & Regards  
>  
> Amanda Wang [MSFT]  
>  
> Microsoft Online Partner Support  
>  
> Get Secure! – [www.microsoft.com/security](http://www.microsoft.com/security)  
>  
> =====  
>  
> When responding to posts, please "Reply to Group" via your newsreader so  
> that others may learn and benefit from your issue.  
>  
> =====  
>  
> -----  
>>X-Tomcat-ID: 245765645  
>>References: <7C9E0C9C-D9DA-4D57-B9CF-AED3E4DC28E1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
> <OJ0BRIqYFHA.3336@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
> <rXCSomcZFHA.3336@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
> <eSMob8eZFHA.584@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
> <eEuVRqoZFHA.2996@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...

Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...

```
> <R#5uTOpZFHA.2184@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
> <ycK8FypZFHA.3336@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
> <#ESZc8AcFHA.3912@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
> <tfMrfqZcFHA.3052@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
>>MIME-Version: 1.0
>>Content-Type: text/plain
>>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>>From: v-amanwa@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (Amanda Wang [MSFT])
>>Organization: Microsoft
>>Date: Thu, 16 Jun 2005 14:50:00 GMT
>>Subject: Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...
>>X-Tomcat-NG: microsoft.public.windows.server.networking
>>Message-ID: <4yMivKocFHA.1264@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
>>Newsgroups: microsoft.public.windows.server.networking
>>Lines: 396
>>Path: TK2MSFTNGXA01.phx.gbl
>>Xref: TK2MSFTNGXA01.phx.gbl
> microsoft.public.windows.server.networking:16672
>>NNTP-Posting-Host: TOMCATIMPORT1 10.201.218.122
>>
>>Hello,
>>
>>Thanks for your effort on this issue.
>>
>>I have checked the MPSReports and userenv.log file. Then I would provide
>>the results I have found separately as following:
>>
>>I. In userenv.log file, I found the error as following:
>>
>>USERENV(1d0.1d4) 10:43:29:812 MyRegUnLoadKey: Failed to unmount hive
>>00000005
>>USERENV(1d0.1d4) 10:43:29:822 DumpOpenRegistryHandle: 2 user registry
>>Handles leaked from
>>\Registry\User\S-1-5-21-923867646-1928005521-2076119496-1018
>>USERENV(1d0.1d4) 10:43:29:832 UnloadUserProfile: Didn't unload user
>>profile <err = 5>
>>USERENV(1d0.1d4) 10:43:30:422 UnloadUserProfile: UnloadUserProfile failed
>>with 0
>>USERENV(22c.230) 10:44:09:412 CUserProfile::CleanupUserProfile: Ref Count
>>is not 0
>>USERENV(22c.230) 10:44:09:412 CUserProfile::CleanupUserProfile: Ref Count
>>is not 0
>>USERENV(22c.230) 10:44:09:412 CUserProfile::CleanupUserProfile: Ref Count
>>is not 0
>>USERENV(22c.230) 10:46:44:876 GetUserDNSDomainName: MyGetUserNameEx
> failed
>>for NameDnsDomain style name with 1332
>>USERENV(26c.2d0) 10:46:44:996 GetUserDNSDomainName: MyGetUserNameEx
> failed
>>for NameDnsDomain style name with 1332
>>USERENV(26c.2d0) 10:46:45:006 GetUserDNSDomainName: MyGetUserNameEx
```

Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...

```
> failed
>>for NameDnsDomain style name with 1332
>>USERENV(22c.230) 10:46:46:348 GetUserDNSDomainName: MyGetUserNameEx
> failed
>>for NameDnsDomain style name with 1332
>>
>>***According to these errors, it appears that the client cannot get the
> DNS.
>>
>>
>>II. In Win2k3's Network MPSReport, found the errors as following:
>>
>>Doing initial required tests
>>
>> Testing server: Verkstadsgatan\VERKTYG
>> Starting test: Connectivity
>> * Active Directory LDAP Services Check
>> * Active Directory RPC Services Check
>> [VERKTYG] DsBindWithSpnEx() failed with error 1753,
>> Win32 Error 1753.
>> Printing RPC Extended Error Info:
>> Error Record 1, ProcessID is 9788 (DcDiag)
>> System Time is: 6/1/2005 9:12:11:65
>> Generating component is 2 (RPC runtime)
>> Status is 1753: There are no more endpoints available from the
>>endpoint mapper.
>> Detection location is 500
>> NumberOfParameters is 4
>> Unicode string: ncacn_ip_tcp
>> Unicode string:
>>ee5d8aed-846c-43c6-984c-9051a63a0fc9._msdcs.smedjan.local
>> Long val: -481213899
>> Long val: 65537
>> Error Record 2, ProcessID is 9788 (DcDiag)
>> System Time is: 6/1/2005 9:12:11:65
>> Generating component is 2 (RPC runtime)
>> Status is 1722: The RPC server is unavailable.
>> Detection location is 761
>> NumberOfParameters is 1
>> Unicode string: 1025
>> Error Record 3, ProcessID is 9788 (DcDiag)
>> System Time is: 6/1/2005 9:12:11:65
>> Generating component is 8 (winsock)
>> Status is 1722: The RPC server is unavailable.
>> Detection location is 313
>> Error Record 4, ProcessID is 9788 (DcDiag)
>> System Time is: 6/1/2005 9:12:11:65
>> Generating component is 8 (winsock)
>> Status is 10048: Only one usage of each socket address
>>(protocol/network address/port) is normally permitted.
>> Detection location is 311
```

Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...

Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...

>> NumberOfParameters is 3  
>> Long val: 1025  
>> Pointer val: 0  
>> Pointer val: 0  
>> Error Record 5, ProcessID is 9788 (DcDiag)  
>> System Time is: 6/1/2005 9:12:11:65  
>> Generating component is 8 (winsock)  
>> Status is 10048: Only one usage of each socket address  
>>(protocol/network address/port) is normally permitted.  
>> Detection location is 318  
>> ..... VERKTYG failed test Connectivity  
>>  
>>\*\*\*The error point to the RPC server is unavailable and there are no more  
>>endpoints available from the endpoint mapper.  
>>  
>>  
>>Therefore, according to the above errors, we can confirm the issue should  
>>be a DNS replication issue. There are many reasons can cause the issue:  
>>  
>>1. Please refer to the following articles to configure an Authoritative  
>>Time Server first:  
>>  
>>216734 How to Configure an Authoritative Time Server in Windows 2000  
>><http://support.microsoft.com/?id=216734>  
>>  
>>314054 How to Configure an Authoritative Time Server in Windows XP  
>><http://support.microsoft.com/?id=314054>  
>>  
>>816042 How to configure the Windows Time service on a Windows Server  
>>2003-based <http://support.microsoft.com/?id=816042>  
>>  
>>2. Please check the configuration of two sites and refer to the following  
>>articles:  
>>  
>>Windows Server 2003 Active Directory Branch Office Guide  
>>  
>><http://www.microsoft.com/downloads/details.aspx?FamilyID=9353a4f6-a8a8-40bb-9fa7-3a95c9540112&displaylang=en>  
>>  
>>Deploying Active Directory for Branch Office Environments  
>>  
>>[http://www.microsoft.com/technet/archive/windows2000serv/technologies/active\\_directory/deploy/adguide/addeploy/default.mspx](http://www.microsoft.com/technet/archive/windows2000serv/technologies/active_directory/deploy/adguide/addeploy/default.mspx)  
>>  
>>Active Directory Branch Office Planning Guide  
>>  
>><http://www.microsoft.com/windows2000/techinfo/planning/activedirectory/branchoffice/default.asp>

Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...

>>

>>Please pay more attention to the following contents in these articles:

>>

>>Configuring Automated Site Coverage

>>Another situation that requires configuration of SRV resource records

>>results from not having a domain controller in a particular site. This

>>might happen because there are no users who require constant logon access,

>>or because replication to the site might be too expensive or too slow. To

>>ensure that a domain controller is located in the site closest to a client

>>computer, if not the same site, Windows Server?2003 automatically attempts

>>to register a domain controller in every site by using an automated site

>>coverage algorithm. The algorithm determines how one site can "cover" a

>>second site when no domain controller exists in the second site. By

>>default, the process uses the replication topology.

>>The algorithm works as follows: each domain controller checks all sites in

>>the forest and then checks the replication cost matrix. A domain

> controller

>>advertises itself (registers a site-related SRV record in DNS) in any site

>>that does not have a domain controller for that domain and for which its

>>site has the lowest-cost connections. This process ensures that every site

>>has a domain controller even though its domain controller might not be

>>physically located in that site. The domain controllers that are published

>>in DNS are those from the closest site (as defined by the replication

>>topology).

>>In a branch office environment, automated site coverage should be

> disabled.

>>Figure 4.9 shows where this process fits into the overall DNS planning

>>process.

>>Figure 4.9 Configuring Automated Site Coverage

>>

>>In the branch office scenario, computers should not locate domain

>>controllers in any other branch office. A client should always communicate

>>with a local domain controller, and if that is not available, use a domain

>>controller in the data center site. Automated site coverage makes it

>>possible for a situation to occur where a client might be able to locate a

>>domain controller in another branch office. For this reason, automated

> site

>>coverage should be disabled in a branch office environment. Use the Group

>>Policy snap-in to achieve this:

>>1. Disable the "Automated Site Coverage by DC Locator DNS SRV Records"

>>Group Policy on all domain controllers, not only in the branches but also

>>in the data center. You can do this by modifying the Default Domain

>>Controllers Group Policy.

>>2. Do not register generic records, as described in "Finding a Domain

>>Controller in the Data Center" earlier in this chapter.

>>If both of these configurations are performed, then all clients within a

>>branch office site will discover the local domain controller if it is

>>available or the data center domain controller if no local domain

>>controller is available.

>>When a site with a domain controller for some domain is closer to another

>>site than to the data center site, the administrator has the ability to

Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...

>>configure that domain controller with the specific ("close") sites to be  
>>covered using the following Group Policy settings:  
>>" Sites Covered by the DC Locator DNS SRV Records  
>>" Sites Covered by the GC Locator DNS SRV Records  
>>" Sites Covered by the Application Directory Partition Locator DNS SRV  
>>Records  
>>However, physical proximity or network performance are not the only  
>>criteria. If firewalls or dial-on-demand lines do not allow traffic in  
> this  
>>direction, incorrectly applied site coverage will be bad for clients  
>>because they will fall back to an unreachable domain controller and not to  
>>the data center.  
>>  
>>HTH! And I will continue checking the MPSReports to see if there are any  
>>other clues I can found. If you have anything update, please feel free to  
>>let me know. I'm looking forward to your reply.  
>>  
>>Thanks & Regards  
>>  
>>Amanda Wang [MSFT]  
>>  
>>Microsoft Online Partner Support  
>>  
>>Get Secure! – [www.microsoft.com/security](http://www.microsoft.com/security)  
>>  
>>=====

>>  
>>When responding to posts, please "Reply to Group" via your newsreader so  
>>that others may learn and benefit from your issue.  
>>  
>>=====

>>  
>>-----

>>>X-Tomcat-ID: 233018892  
>>>References: <7C9E0C9C-D9DA-4D57-B9CF-AED3E4DC28E1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>><OJ0BRiQYFHA.3336@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>><rXCSomeZFHA.3336@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>><eSMob8eZFHA.584@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>><eEuVRqoZFHA.2996@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>><R#5uTOpZFHA.2184@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>><ycK8FypZFHA.3336@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>><#ESZc8AcFHA.3912@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>>MIME-Version: 1.0  
>>>Content-Type: text/plain  
>>>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit  
>>>From: v-amanwa@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx (Amanda Wang [MSFT])  
>>>Organization: Microsoft  
>>>Date: Wed, 15 Jun 2005 11:08:53 GMT  
>>>Subject: Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...  
>>>X-Tomcat-NG: microsoft.public.windows.server.networking  
>>>Message-ID: <tfMrfqZcFHA.3052@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...

>>>Newsgroups: microsoft.public.windows.server.networking  
>>>Lines: 131  
>>>Path: TK2MSFTNGXA01.phx.gbl  
>>>Xref: TK2MSFTNGXA01.phx.gbl  
>>microsoft.public.windows.server.networking:16613  
>>>NNTP-Posting-Host: tomcatimport2.phx.gbl 10.201.218.182  
>>>  
>>>Hello,  
>>>  
>>>Thanks for your response.  
>>>  
>>>First, I would like give a summary of this issue including setup  
>>>environment, main issue you encountered and the actions we have  
>>>performed.  
>>>  
>>>Setup environment:  
>>>=====

>>>A Windows 2000 server was at first installed in site A (both  
>>geographically  
>>>and in the site configuration) this is acting as the "primary" domain  
>>>controller.  
>>>This server is GC, DDNS, DHCP, WINS, Print and file server.  
>>>  
>>>Then at site B there is a windows 2003 server. This is acting as a GC,  
>>>DDNS,  
>>>WINS, file and print server.  
>>>  
>>>The sites are connected through a VPN tunnel with cisco pix firewalls.  
> The  
>>>internet connection is at site A a dedicated connection and at site B a  
>>>ADSL 1Mbit connection.  
>>>  
>>>Issue:  
>>>=====

>>>When the connection between the two sites is terminated, the clients at  
>>>site B becomes extremely slow to logon and even to access the local  
> server  
>>>at site B.  
>>>  
>>>Actions:  
>>>=====

- >>>1. Yes every client in Site B is suffering from this
- >>>2. The DNS is replicated through sites and services
- >>>3. The DNS settings on site B is distributed the following way to the  
>>>clients: (the DHCP scope settings)  
>>>Primary dns: site Bs win2k3 server  
>>>Secondary dns: site As win2k server  
>>>Same setup for wins...
- >>>4. The mappings on the clients are mapped like this:  
>>>NET USE G: \\smedjan.local\DFSroot\Verktyg\_Gemensam /PERSISTENT:NO  
>>>If change it to NET USE G: \\verktyg\Verktyg\_Gemensam\$ /PERSISTENT:NO

Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...

>>>>then the problem with slow connections disappears.  
>>>>\\smedjan.local is the domain name and verktyg is the file server's name.  
>>>>a, the file server is Server B in site B  
>>>>b, If don't map the shares there is no problem  
>>>>  
>>>>5. If change the group policy setting on the w2k3 server at site B the  
>>>>policy won't be applied to the clients until the w2k server at site A has  
>>>>that group policy information. Even if run GPUPDATE on the clients and  
>>>>server.  
>>>>If make a change in the policies on w2k server at site A the clients gets  
>>>>that policy applied directly with GPUPDATE.  
>>>>  
>>>>Now from the above conclusion, we can find the issue should have two  
>>>>aspects:  
>>>>  
>>>>1. It seems that Site B's clients cannot authenticate by Win2k3 server.  
>>>>It  
>>>>may be caused by DNS replication.  
>>>>2. It may be caused by the mappings and it looks like a DFSroot issue  
>>>>  
>>>>Therefore, we can perform the following steps to isolate the issue:  
>>>>1. Terminate the connection between site A and B  
>>>>2. Boot one client computer by using safe mode with network  
>>>>3. Don't map the shares and check if the slow logon issue still persists  
>>>>on  
>>>>this client.  
>>>>4. How long will it take in logging on?  
>>>>This test will help us isolate if the issue is caused by replication  
>>>>between A and B or by DFSroot.  
>>>>  
>>>>The issue is complex and Network MPSReport and Userenv log on files are  
>>>>very important for us to perform further research on it.  
>>>>  
>>>>I don't know why I haven't received your E-mail last time. Would you  
>>>>please use two different E-mail accounts to send the information I  
>>>>requested to me at v-amanwa@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx? You effort on this issue  
> would  
>>>>be appreciated and I'm looking forward to hearing from you.  
>>>>  
>>>>Thanks & Regards  
>>>>  
>>>>Amanda Wang [MSFT]  
>>>>  
>>>>Microsoft Online Partner Support  
>>>>  
>>>>Get Secure! - [www.microsoft.com/security](http://www.microsoft.com/security)  
>>>>  
>>>>=====

Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...

>>>  
>>>=====

>>>  
>>>-----

>>>>From: <fc9a9f82-2129692850@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>>>References: <7C9E0C9C-D9DA-4D57-B9CF-AED3E4DC28E1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>>><OJ0BRIqYFHA.3336@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>>><rXCSomcZFHA.3336@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>>><eSMob8eZFHA.584@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>>><eEuVRqoZFHA.2996@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>>><R#5uTOpZFHA.2184@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>>><ycK8FypZFHA.3336@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>>>Subject: Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...  
>>>>Date: Mon, 13 Jun 2005 13:57:41 +0200  
>>>>Lines: 19  
>>>>X-Priority: 3  
>>>>X-MSMail-Priority: Normal  
>>>>X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2900.2180  
>>>>X-RFC2646: Format=Flowed; Original  
>>>>X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2900.2180  
>>>>Message-ID: <#ESZc8AcFHA.3912@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>  
>>>>Newsgroups: microsoft.public.windows.server.networking  
>>>>NNTP-Posting-Host: mail.wermttec.se 194.132.162.3  
>>>>Path: TK2MSFTNGXA01.phx.gbl!TK2MSFTNGP08.phx.gbl!TK2MSFTNGP15.phx.gbl  
>>>>Xref: TK2MSFTNGXA01.phx.gbl  
>>>microsoft.public.windows.server.networking:16505  
>>>>X-Tomcat-NG: microsoft.public.windows.server.networking  
>>>>  
>>>>Hi,  
>>>>  
>>>>I will try to mail you the previously discussed files again.  
>>>>  
>>>>1. The Site B has server B in that site and Site A has server A in its  
>>>>site.  
>>>>Do you want me to pu both of the servers in site A?  
>>>>  
>>>>2. \\smedjan.local is the domain name and verktyg is the file servers  
>>>>name.  
>>>>a, the file server is Server B in site B  
>>>>b, If I dont map the shares there is no problem, so the mapping is a  
>>>>problem, or to find the right way to map drives seems to be a problem...  
>>>>  
>>>>3. I will get you a report next time I go to my customer wich I hope can  
>>>>be  
>>>>this week.  
>>>>  
>>>>Best Regards  
>>>>Perra  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>>

>>>  
>>>  
>>  
>>  
>

---

• **Follow-Ups:**

- ◆ **Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...**  
◇ From: Amanda Wang [MSFT]

• **References:**

- ◆ **Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...**  
◇ From: fc9a9f82-2129692850
- ◆ **Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...**  
◇ From: Amanda Wang [MSFT]
- ◆ **Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...**  
◇ From: Amanda Wang [MSFT]
- ◆ **Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...**  
◇ From: fc9a9f82-2129692850
- ◆ **Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...**  
◇ From: Amanda Wang [MSFT]
- ◆ **Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...**  
◇ From: Amanda Wang [MSFT]
- ◆ **Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...**  
◇ From: Amanda Wang [MSFT]

- Prev by Date: **Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...**
- Next by Date: **Re: Adding another server?**
- Previous by thread: **Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...**
- Next by thread: **Re: Client performance problem windows 2003 server...**
- Index(es):
  - ◆ **Date**
  - ◆ **Thread**