

Re: network routing help

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.networking/2004-12/0727.html>

From: Param R. (*pr_at_nospam.com*)

Date: 12/22/04

Date: Wed, 22 Dec 2004 13:51:59 -0600

Some of us dont have the luxury of simply abandoning the hardware investment we have already made unless we absolutely have to.

thanks!

"Phillip Windell" <@.> wrote in message
news:eC0szlF6EHA.3612@TK2MSFTNGP14.phx.gbl...

> -----

> *Now the reason I started off with 2 subnets in the first place was because
> the SBS DHCP services likes to be in control over DHCP. As a result I
> could
> not have another device (WRT55AG) performing DHCP to wireless clients on
> the
> same subnet.*

> -----

>

> *You don't have to run DHCP on either one, nothing is forcing you to do
> that.
> Just disable the one you don't need. The DHCP of the SBS is the most
> flexible. And you don't need two subnets. If you want two, then fine, but
> this isn't a reason to do so.*

>

> -----

> *I need to maintain some sort of partitioning between wired and wireless
> clients because I also run a Proxy server on the SBS Box and I would like
> to
> govern*

> -----

>

> *Again, not a reason to use subnets. The proxy is not "forced" to follow
> subnets. Also if this is the old MS Proxy2, it does not filter or
> restrict
> by IP#,...it works by user accounts. Don't confuse what you see in the
> Socks
> Service Permissions,...the Socks Service is primarily for Non-windows OS's
> and also requires the Application using it to be a "socksified
> application"*

- > *which is extremely rare to find such an Application.*
- >
- > *Any other proxy should easily be able to work with a range of IP#s that*
- > *are*
- > *not directly tied to a subnet. You can pick arbitrary ranges,...after*
- > *all,... they have to be able to allow or deny within a system that might have*
- > *only had one subnet to begin with.*
- >
- > -----
- > *Server also. I just cannot do it on the wireless WRT55AG device.*
- > -----
- >
- > *I'm not familiar with that device. Use a simple, regular Wireless*
- > *Connection Point,...do *not* use a Wireless NAT Device which is what a*
- > *so-called "wireless router" would be. This is the wrong type of device for*
- > *the job.*
- >
- > *You are always miles ahead to never run a multihomed Domain*
- > *Controller,...although SBS does seem to be an intended exception to the*
- > *rule.*
- >
- > *272294 – Active Directory Communication Fails on Multihomed Domain*
- > *Controllers*
- > *<http://support.microsoft.com/default.aspx?scid=kb;en-us:272294>*
- >
- > *191611 – Symptoms of Multihomed Browsers*
- > *<http://support.microsoft.com/default.aspx?scid=kb;EN-US:191611>*
- >
- >
- > --
- >
- > *Phillip Windell [MCP, MVP, CCNA]*
- > *www.wandtv.com*
- >
- >
- >
- >