

Re: folder & file permissions issue

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.networking/2004-05/0496.html>

From: Bobby (*bobby.creech_at_rauland-fl.com*)

Date: 05/12/04

Date: Wed, 12 May 2004 08:57:51 -0400

OK, I think I got it working yesterday
I set the share permissions to Read/Change for everyone
Then went into security and took away everything but read for everyone
(don't want them creating dir/files in the root of Public, then went into
the REEVE folder and gave the accounting group full control. Seems to be
working. Quite a bit different than Novell rights...

guess I'm going to need to read the white papers or a book on Windows rights
management

thanks

"Roland Hall" <nobody@nowhere> wrote in message
news:Obbj4YAOEHA.3988@tk2msftngp13.phx.gbl...
> "Bobby" <bobby.creech@rauland-fl.com> wrote in message
> news:ez%238E11NEHA.3312@tk2msftngp13.phx.gbl...
> : the root of the share \Public has everyone group with Read/File
> Scan/Execute
>
> Ok, perhaps we have a misunderstanding in terms. The confusion could be
on
> my end but I want to make sure you're answering what I'm asking so perhaps
> I'm not asking it correctly. So, I'll start over.
>
> File System Rights (Security Tab in Explorer)
> \public – assumes this is the folder name – has RX rights EVERYONE.
> \public\share – no inherited rights. accounting (global group) has full
> rights
>
> Share Rights (Share Tab in Explorer)
>
> What are the names of the shares? Do they duplicate the folder names?
>
> If I access the public share remotely using UNC and it is named public, I
> would use \\server\public. If this share only offers Read rights, then
file
> system rights are useless to me when accessing data via this share if I

need

- > *to make modifications. Consider the share as an outer layer to the file system level. It also works in reverse. If I have full rights at the*

share

- > *but Read rights at the file system level, my EFFECTIVE rights are only*

Read

- > *or LCD (Least common denominator).*

>

- > *Have you set rights at the file system level AND at the share level?*

>

- > *Another Ex.*

- > *Can I have Read rights at \public, Modify (change) rights at \public\share*

- > *(share denoting folder name and not variable) (BTW...I would not call a*

- > *folder share but rather accounting in this case) and allow users to modify*

- > *files in \public\share? The answer is it depends on how the access the*

- > *data. If they come through a share called public which only has Read*

- > *rights, the answer is no. If they connect through a share called*

- > *\accounting that has Modify rights, then the answer is yes. Also, a share*

- > *called public could have modify rights that would allow this but that is*

- > *poor design since public has a default of Read rights at the file system*

- > *level.*

>

- > *HTH...*

>

- > *--*

- > *Roland Hall*

- > */* This information is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but*

- > *without any warranty; without even the implied warranty of merchantability*

- > *or fitness for a particular purpose. */*

- > *Online Support for IT Professionals –*

- > *<http://support.microsoft.com/servicedesks/technet/default.asp?fr=0&sd=tech>*

- > *How-to: Windows 2000 DNS:*

- > *<http://support.microsoft.com/default.aspx?scid=kb;EN-US;308201>*

>

>