

Re: Interforest domain restructure

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.migration/2006-05/msg00008.html>

- *From:* "kj" <kj@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 2 May 2006 17:20:49 -0700
-

AMDT only migrates user, computer, and group objects, & translates security.

No schema attributes, no GPO's. Of course you can purposefully re-create /restore GPO's, but not unintentionally.

Inter-forest migration leaves the old forest intact. The computers and member servers do migrate, but everything else remains in the old forest.

Still, such a substantial activity warrants considerable lab test time on non production forests.

Virtual Machines are ideal sandboxes for such lab testing with the ability to "rollback" and retest operations.

--

/kj

"Scuzzi" <Scuzzi@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:6C5A5623-1549-4D5D-B6E4-535DFA07B189@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Thanks Vincent.

Have already downloaded and perused the article you mention, along with most of the other information in this general TechNet area. Lots of stuff on how to do the migration, how to plan it etc., but I have not found anything on how "contained" or "safe" the procedure is. I want to have details on what is allowed to migrate between the forests, and what is not. This will give me confidence that I am leaving the problems behind in the old (source) domain.

"Vincent Xu [MSFT]" wrote:

Hi,

I think you can refer to following article:

Re: Interforest domain restructure

<<http://technet2.microsoft.com/WindowsServer/en/Library/a281b8bc-71ea-45b2-b0ca-8f55257c77301033.msp>>

Hope the information helps.

Best regards,

Vincent Xu
Microsoft Online Partner Support

=====
Get Secure! – www.microsoft.com/security
=====

When responding to posts, please "Reply to Group" via your newsreader so that others may learn and benefit from this issue.

=====
This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no rights.
=====

Thread-Topic: Interforest domain restructure
thread-index:
AcZtmayLjaBkTIhUTeaRcWNASDUj4Q==
X-WBNR-Posting-Host: 192.146.150.3
From: =?Utf-8?B?U2N1enpp?=
<Scuzzi@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
Subject: Interforest domain restructure
Date: Mon, 1 May 2006 20:37:01 -0700
Lines: 10
Message-ID:
<DC4626B1-2101-4095-82F0-2A9DA00309F3@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Utf-8"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Newsreader: Microsoft CDO for Windows 2000
Content-Class: urn:content-classes:message
Importance: normal
Priority: normal
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.3790.1830
Newsgroups:
microsoft.public.windows.server.migration
Path: TK2MSFTNGXA01.phx.gbl

Re: Interforest domain restructure

Xref: TK2MSFTNGXA01.phx.gbl

microsoft.public.windows.server.migration:23528

NNTP-Posting-Host:
TK2MSFTNGXA01.phx.gbl 10.40.2.250
X-Tomcat-NG:
microsoft.public.windows.server.migration

We are currently Windows Server 2003 AD.
For various reasons, including

some

problems with AD schema (we ran a Cisco
procedure which wrote

overlapping

entries on our schema – took ages to fix it),
phantom and lingering

objects

and Group Policy problems, we have
decided to create a pristine Windows

2003

domain in a new forest. I know we can use
ADMT to move users, security
groups, SIDs etc. I am concerned that we
might "pollute" the new clean

domain

with odds and sods from the old one, which
would negate the benefits of

the

migration. Can anyone give me a definitive
on exactly what comes over
in

the

migration? Will I be able to leave the GP
and schema issues behind in
the

Re: Interforest domain restructure

old

domain – effectively "shedding our skin?"