

RE: NT4 -> 2003 DNS/Domain Name headache

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.migration/2004-03/0773.html>

From: Joe Wu [MSFT] (joewu_at_online.microsoft.com)

Date: 03/25/04

Date: Thu, 25 Mar 2004 06:03:21 GMT

Hello Gary,

Thank you for your reply.

Did you enabled IPF or IPSec on the first NIC?

By the way, I would like to suggest that you do NOT use multi-homed PDC as it may cause further problems. In this case, it is better to disable NIC1 and use NIC2.

Thanks and have a great day!

Regards,

Joe Wu

Product Support Services

Microsoft Corporation

Get Secure! - www.microsoft.com/security

=====
When responding to posts, please "Reply to Group" via your newsreader so that others may learn and benefit from your issue.
=====

This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no rights.

|Content-Class: urn:content-classes:message
|From: "Gary" <gary@silicon.removethisbit.co.nz>
|Sender: "Gary" <gary@silicon.removethisbit.co.nz>
|References: <d23f01c41092\$c3be1320\$a001280a@phx.gbl>
<XfZ1xkLEEHA.756@cpmsftngxa06.phx.gbl>
|Subject: RE: NT4 -> 2003 DNS/Domain Name headache
|Date: Wed, 24 Mar 2004 14:02:07 -0800
|Lines: 26
|Message-ID: <e5b901c411eb\$a5d3bb80\$a001280a@phx.gbl>
|MIME-Version: 1.0
|Content-Type: text/plain;

microsoft.public.windows.server.migration: RE: NT4 -> 2003 DNS/Domain Name headache

| charset="iso-8859-1"

| Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

| X-Newsreader: Microsoft CDO for Windows 2000

| X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4910.0300

| Thread-Index: AcQR66XRVGIC3ACbTEOwImH//Y+0Dg==

| Newsgroups: microsoft.public.windows.server.migration

| Path: cpmsftngxa06.phx.gbl

| Xref: cpmsftngxa06.phx.gbl microsoft.public.windows.server.migration:9112

| NNTP-Posting-Host: tk2msftngxa08.phx.gbl 10.40.1.160

| X-Tomcat-NG: microsoft.public.windows.server.migration

| Thanks,

| I set up some test machines and went through the upgrade.

| I've hit one strange problem - The PDC I used had dual onboard NICs, IPs 10.1.1.1 /8 and 10.1.1.2 /8. Only 10.1.1.1 was connected to the network.

| After completing the upgrade there is no communication at all through the 10.1.1.1 NIC. It won't even ping the bdc (10.1.1.3) or the client (10.1.1.4), and these can't ping back to the pdc.

| I changed the IP of this nic to 10.1.1.5, but still no go. I then changed it to obtain its address from dhcp (from dhcp server on the live network), and it can ping in and out ok to 'live machines' (192.168.1.x subnet), so it doesn't look like a driver problem.

| Finally, I connected the second nic (10.1.1.2) to the network, and everything works. The bdc synchronised, the client can log on via the pdc, etc etc. Only outstanding problem is why the nic connected during the upgrade won't allow any connections!

| any ideas?