

## Re: Directory Permissions – What gives?

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.general/2007-07/msg00697.html>

---

- *From:* "SBS Rocker" <noreply@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
  - *Date:* Thu, 19 Jul 2007 09:19:53 -0700
- 

Oh I forgot to mention Group C which is a copy of Group A minus the members of Group B. Which means you copy the inheritance from the parent folder, remove Group A and Add Group B and Group C. But nothing else needed to be done at the share level is required.

"SBS Rocker" <noreply@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message <news:%23VrSs7hyHHA.4824@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Now that's what everyone here is talking about Dragos. You are creating more work. If you had the parent folder shared at Everyone=FULL or even better Authenticated Users=FULL you'll never have to modify the share permissions again no matter what type of access you need to grant in the folder or sub folder. All security is now controlled and managed at the NTFS folder and sub folder levels.

There was a reason why pre W2K3 by default for a share was Everyone=FULL. Now they have changed it to Everyone=Read. You may not agree with having Everyone=FULL at the share level but you seem to agree with Authenticated Users=FULL at the share level. Isn't the Guest account a member of Everyone as well as Authenticated Users? That siad if you did it that way there would be no reason to creating new groups or removing groups at share level. Correct? All you would need to do at the parent FolderA and sub folderB now is create one new group and give them Read access. Copy the inherited NTFS permissions from the parent folder and add Group B and have inheritance turned on at the sub level to all child folders.

That is the reasoning behind why you only need to apply one group at the share level so you don't have to go back and do all the extra work at the share level as you just explained.

"Dragos CAMARA" <dragos\_c@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message <news:707B3AF6-BA71-4A69-B0A6-04807F047C1A@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

simple as a walking in a park :  
create a group C give ntfs share permissions to that group, add group A and B  
to C, remove group A from share permission, give NTFS rights acording to group A and B.

Re: Directory Permissions – What gives?

everyone group full access : includes anyone who has access to network resources, including the Guest account – so keep to guest account with that rights

—  
Dragos CAMARA  
MCSA Windows 2003 server

"Albert Louis" wrote:

hmmmmmmmmmm this is all very interesting. Sure would like to see what Dragos response is to Eagles10 question. Dragos I'm almost embarrassed to have read your reply to Andrew instructing him to secure his folders at the share level using groups. Makes the rest of us MCSA's look like we have no creditability

"Eagles10" <bogus@xxxxxxxx> wrote in message [news:%233TvKRWyHHA.4276@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:%233TvKRWyHHA.4276@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

wow!!! looks like I stumbled into a very interesting thread. Did anyone ever resolve Andrew's issues? Let me throw in my cents here and try not to offend anyone. I'm going to have to agree with SBS Rocker simply because if you start applying users and groups at the share level you are creating more work and managing the ntfs folder permissions becomes quite a task  
Rocker is correct. You need to apply Everyone=FULL at the share level.  
I'm not sure what Dragos was thinking about offering his suggestion to add groups to the share permissions. Afterall he is a MCSA and he should

Re: Directory Permissions – What gives?

know  
better than that.

Dragos what happens if I give Group A  
FULL share permissions and  
Modify  
NTFS permissions on the folder. Now I have  
a subfolder that requires  
part  
of the users of Group A to have Modify and  
a new Group B to have read  
access yet some of the members of Group B  
are members of Group A. Now  
what  
are you going to do?

"Andrew"

<Andrew@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

wrote in message

[news:BF348C3A-D097-4852-AFB2-71978C5D6F81@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:BF348C3A-D097-4852-AFB2-71978C5D6F81@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

I shared a directory with one  
of our Windows 2003  
servers and gave a  
user  
Full Control access to that  
directory. However, from  
his computer  
where  
he  
is logged on, he can't copy  
and paste anything to that  
directory. If  
he  
remote desktop's into the  
server and logs on as  
himself, he can  
browse to  
another network share and  
pull the file over without  
any problems.

I never had this problem in  
Windows 2000. How do I  
configure a  
directory  
on  
a Windows 2003 server so  
that people can "push" files

Re: Directory Permissions – What gives?

to that folder  
without  
logging onto the server  
locally and "pulling" the  
files over?