

Re: Share Permissions vs NTFS Permissions

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.general/2007-06/msg00640.html>

- *From:* "Pegasus" <Lcan@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 17 Jun 2007 18:32:57 +0200
-

"Jon" <Jon@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
<news:DC311C60-378B-403E-9F04-EBBDA55AEEC3@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

Hello,

I'm struggling to understand permissions in W2k3 server standard. I have shared a folder, 'Documents', and set the share permission for my user group to Read only. On a sub-folder within 'Documents' I want my user group to have full control so have set the folder's security permissions appropriately. However, members of the user group are denied access.

Which set of permissions take precedence? Should I avoid share permissions altogether or set them in a particular way?

Apologies if this has been answered before – haven't been able to find the answer.

Thanks, Jon

You should set the Share permissions to "Full access" for everyone, then tune the NTFS permissions to meet your specific requirements.