

# Re: Stub zones

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.dns/2006-11/msg00349.html>

---

- *From:* "Herb Martin" <[news@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Tue, 21 Nov 2006 00:46:08 -0500
- 

"howellrj" <[howellrj@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:howellrj@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message [news:9049E8C5-1463-4779-89E7-4272EE1CFA6E@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:9049E8C5-1463-4779-89E7-4272EE1CFA6E@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Hi

I would like to know if our company would benefit from stub zones.

Currently we have an empty root with the root zone housed in it, all the child domains have delegations listed in this root zone. the root zone is replicated to all DNS servers in the Windows 2003 forest.

Then you don't need stubs on the root (delegations take care of Root finding children DOWNWARDS.)

And you don't need stubs on the children since you have fully replicated the Root on the Children DNS.

Stubs are (only) for the case where you WANT to do that latter part (replicate the zones) but where those zones are HUGE and you must avoid replicating all of the records.

Stubs are really a special form of Secondary (without the vast majority of records.)

I would like to know if we had to place stub zones for all the child domains to the Root and replicate those to all DNS servers in the forest if we would improve the DNS responses.

Nope. You already have something as effective.

Each child domain has only 1 link into the network cloud

Re: Stub zones

—  
Herb Martin, MCSE, MVP  
Accelerated MCSE  
<http://www.LearnQuick.Com>  
[phone number on web site]

Thanks

Re: Stub zones