

Re: Dynamic DNS registration port

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.dns/2005-05/msg00369.html>

- *From:* "Jeremy Church" <trombone79@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 17 May 2005 11:26:02 -0400
-

If your computer attempts to get an IP from a DHCP server outside your network, it will not attempt to register its DNS record with your DNS server in your office unless you have your DNS server set statically on your workstation.

Also, you said port 53. It needs to be UDP port 53. Also, if you open your DNS server to allow dynamic updates unsecured from the internet, you are opening yourself up to a host of possible DoS attacks and other security issues. I cannot think of a "need" to have your workstation registered on your internal DNS server unless you are doing something beyond normal remote work.

What is your exact need because if you only allow remote DNS registration and Kerberos authentication, you still cannot get to shares or printers. Are you even asking about a firewall for remote connectivity or just between segments on your internal network?

"BookerW" <BookerW@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message news:44B39F0F-AAEB-4EFA-9E49-9582759B5C9F@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
> I "thought" i had all of the necessary ports open for dynamic registration of
> dns records. DOes anyone know the port(s) needed to allow dynamic updates of
> dns records through a firewall. I opened up 53(Which I believe is dns), and
> 88, which was kerberos?

-
- *References:*
 - ◆ [*Dynamic DNS registration port*](#)
 - ◇ *From:* BookerW
 - Prev by Date: [*Re: DNS & NAT*](#)
 - Next by Date: [*Re: DNS & NAT*](#)

Re: Dynamic DNS registration port

- Previous by thread: ***Re: Dynamic DNS registration port***
- Next by thread: ***Recommended Bulk Transfer of Zones?***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***