

## Re: Namespace

**Source:**

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.dns/2004-07/0458.html>

---

**From:** Omer maydan ([omermaydan\\_at\\_yahoo.com](mailto:omermaydan_at_yahoo.com))

**Date:** 07/19/04

Date: Mon, 19 Jul 2004 20:54:22 +0200

hi. there are dew pros and cons. as a start, it is always a good practice to set up two different dns zones, one public and one internal. the reason is to mask all of your internal address i order to prevent their exposure. (expose only what you want, +, you do not need to use real names) also, it will not cause confusion, causing you to put the wrong records on the wrong dns servers. thired, with one namespace you cannot use foworders between the two servers. foworders prevent your private dns server searching for Internet Names by himself(which you don't want). and the last thing, is you get much more secure enviornmet, with much lesser chances to get hit by an Dns Attack like Chace poisoning or something simielr on your private namespace. the only disadvantage of this topology, is a little extra overhead in defining the dns zones, and, you will have to add an extra email address to each user (this can be done simply by use of an exchange recipient policy...). but this is really small money compared to the clear advantages of a private and a public zone.

Omer maydan  
MCSE,Security+

"Clark" <[anonymous@discussions.microsoft.com](mailto:anonymous@discussions.microsoft.com)> wrote in message news:3023201c46db4\$cb3d870\$a301280a@phx.gbl...

- > *What are the pros and con with selcting a dot local*
- > *internal name space as oposed to a FQDN starting with a*
- > *registered name?*
- >
- > *Our vendor has suggested using dot local. However my*
- > *studies indicate to always start with a registered name.*
- > *Our network connects to the internet via firewalls. I am*
- > *concern about a xxxx.local internal namespace and its*
- > *implications with AD and MS Exchange 2003. Currently we*
- > *use Exchange 5.5.*
- >
- > *This is a reserch issue and we are investigating all*
- > *resources, Microsoft, vendors and IT community.*
- >
- > *Any suggestion or thoughts would be GREATLY appreciated!*
- >

microsoft.public.windows.server.dns: Re: Namespace

> *Thanks,*  
>  
> *Clark*