

# Re: one of the clusters powered down

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.clustering/2005-05/msg00197.html>

---

- *From:* "Ryan Sokolowski [MVP – Avanade]" <[ryan@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:ryan@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Wed, 11 May 2005 09:55:47 –0700
- 

Seriously, you HAVE to have the other node in a cluster powered up and waiting (if you're running an Active/Passive config). This failover determination occurs when the Passive node no longer receives a configured number of heartbeats from the Active node. Assuming the worst, the resources hosted on the Active node are then failed over to the Passive node, making it now the new Active node.

Make sense?

Hope this helps...

—Ryan

—

Ryan Sokolowski  
MVP – Windows Server – Clustering  
MCSE, CCNA, CCDA, BCFP  
Avanade  
<http://www.Avanade.com>

"A troubleshooter's best tool is the Event Viewer and understanding the events and messages contained therein."

This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no rights.

"Ryan Sokolowski [MVP – Avanade]" <[ryan@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:ryan@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message [news:uuyeMkkVFHA.2664@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:uuyeMkkVFHA.2664@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

> YES!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>

> --

> Ryan Sokolowski

> MVP – Windows Server – Clustering

> MCSE, CCNA, CCDA, BCFP

> Avanade

> <http://www.Avanade.com>

>

> "A troubleshooter's best tool is the Event Viewer and understanding the

> events and messages contained therein."

>

Re: one of the clusters powered down

> This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no  
> rights.  
>  
> "snazzy" <snazzy@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
> [news:7CF093E9-EDF5-49E6-89E7-E38981948890@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:7CF093E9-EDF5-49E6-89E7-E38981948890@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>> We have two servers in a cluster. One is always turned off. But if the  
>> first cluster is powered off, the second cluster (the one that was already  
>> powered off) does not turn on. Is this because it really should be  
>> powered  
>> up waiting to become primary when the other one is powered down?  
>>  
>  
>

---

• **Follow-Ups:**

- ◆ **[Re: one of the clusters powered down](#)**  
    ◇ From: Pascal Damman

• **References:**

- ◆ **[one of the clusters powered down](#)**  
    ◇ From: snazzy
- ◆ **[Re: one of the clusters powered down](#)**  
    ◇ From: Ryan Sokolowski [MVP – Avanade]

- Prev by Date: **[Re: one of the clusters powered down](#)**
- Next by Date: **[Re: Node 2 access to drives](#)**
- Previous by thread: **[Re: one of the clusters powered down](#)**
- Next by thread: **[Re: one of the clusters powered down](#)**
- Index(es):
  - ◆ **[Date](#)**
  - ◆ **[Thread](#)**