

Re: simple AD DNS question

Source:

http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.active_directory/2007-10/msg00174

- *From:* ctvader <jeff.swift@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Wed, 03 Oct 2007 06:27:44 -0700
-

On Oct 2, 2:49 pm, "Phillip Drummond" <w...@xxxxx> wrote:

thank you

"Meinolf Weber" <[meiweb\(nospam\)@gmx.de](mailto:meiweb(nospam)@gmx.de)> wrote in message

news:ff16fb665ca0f8c9d34b2d5530a1@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Hello Phillip,

Preferred itself, second the redundant DNS.

Also have a look here:

<http://support.microsoft.com/kb/825036>

Best regards

Meinolf Weber

Disclaimer: This posting is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, and confers no rights.

2 domain controllers... where should they each look for
primary and
secondary dns servers?

Re: simple AD DNS question

example, should the first DC look at itself for dns, with no secondary? and the second dc look at the 1st dc for primary dns and itself for secondary?

what is best practice here?

thanks– Hide quoted text –

– Show quoted text –

Heres another point of view – we had an MS engineer a year ago to do an ADRAP and one of the recommendation was to point all the DC's to the same DNS server to help troubleshoot/pinpoint DNS issues should they crop up.

.