

Re: Making the active directory redundant

Source:

http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.server.active_directory/2006-05/msg00588

- *From:* "Don Wilwol" <donWilwol@(EMAIL)yahoo.com>
 - *Date:* Sun, 7 May 2006 18:42:21 -0400
-

you need the seize the roles, only after the failure. This should help explain.

<http://spaces.msn.com/wilwol/blog/cns!5FFF892E00A8D9E5!108.entry>

--

Hope It Helps!

dw

Don Wilwol

Distributed Application Technologies.

dwilwol(DELETE)@datbusiness.com

www.AtTheDataCenter.com (personal website)

www.skysphere.com (hosting available)

"inadmin" <inadmin@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message

<news:362BB47C-1813-4BFB-AEE2-A2CC2E0B0E11@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>

So I do not need to do anything else?

"Joe Richards [MVP]" wrote:

FSMO roles do not move transparently, however, unless you have legacy clients on the network FSMO role transfer doesn't have to happen immediately anyway (in most cases it could be hours or days or even weeks that roles have to move).

joe

--

Joe Richards Microsoft MVP Windows Server Directory Services

Author of O'Reilly Active Directory Third Edition

www.joeware.net

---O'Reilly Active Directory Third Edition now available---

Re: Making the active directory redundant

<http://www.joeware.net/win/ad3e.htm>

inadmin wrote:

Hi,

I have two windows 2003 domain controllers, both are global catalogs. I think that I need to perform one additional step in order to make sure that in case DC1 fails (which holds all the FSMO roles) DC2 take its place and that it will be transparent to the users. What am I missing?

Thanks in advace.