

Re: GPO testing

Source:

http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/Windows/microsoft.public.windows.group_policy/2006-10/msg00331.html

- *From:* "T. Uranjek" <toniuranjek@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 19 Oct 2006 20:38:28 +0200
-

Hi!

I don't see one crucial step? Put user accounts in test OU?

Toni

"Scott" <scotts@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:%23zayVu68GHA.2120@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Florian,

Here are the steps I used to create and link the GPO :

- In Active Directory Users and Computers created an OU under the domain called Test OU
- In Active Directory Users and Computers created a security group under Test OU called Test Group.
- Placed two users in the security group Test Group.
- In GPMC snap-in went to Group Policy Objects, right clicked and selected New.
- Right clicked on the new policy called "test" and selected edit.
- Went into the User Configuration -> Administrative Templates -> Control Panel and set "Prohibit access to the Control Panel" to enabled.
- In GPMC right clicked on Test OU and selected "Link an existing GPO.." and selected "test".
- test now shows up as linked to Test OU in the GPMC.
- Went to the server and ran `secedit /refreshpolicy [machine && policy]_policy /enforce` (two separate commands written in compact form)
- Went to the XP workstation and ran `gpupdate /force`
- Went to GPMC and right clicked on Group Policy Results and selected Group Policy Result Wizard and set it to give a RSoP for both users in Test Group on my XP workstation.
- Now under User configuration -> Group Policy Objects -> Applied GPOs the only policy listed is "Default Domain Policy" and under User configuration -> Group Policy Objects -> Denied GPOs the only policy listed is Local Group Policy which has a Link Location = Local and Reason Denied = Empty

Re: GPO testing

– Under "Security Group Membership when Group Policy was applied" there is a list of security groups but my Test Group is not in the list. Moreover one of the security groups is old and the user has not been a member of that group for at least a couple of days.

Also, took Toni's advice and downloaded FAZAM 2000. Ran the analysis.

In FAZAM 2000 :

Right clicked on the domain and selected Perform analysis

Set the user to testuser (one of my users in Test Group)

Set the machine to my XP workstation

Performed What-if analysis with the scenario where testuser is moved to the OU called Test OU (which my test GPO is linked to) and the result is a success!?! When I click on the User Hierarchy -> Test OU the test GPO comes up and the Order of Precedence = 1. Under Machine Hierarchy the Test OU is not present probably because there are no machines in the security group.

Looked at your website and went through the list and I cannot figure out where I am going wrong.

Cheers,
Scott

Florian Frommherz wrote:

Howdie Scott!

Scott wrote:

Installed the Microsoft User Profile Hive Cleanup Service as you suggested and restarted the XP workstation. Did a secedit /REFRESHPOLICY [machine && user]_policy /ENFORCE on the server. Did a gpupdate /FORCE on the workstation. Then used the RSoP snap-in for the mmc to test to see if the GPO was applied. It wasn't applied and even worse I removed one of my users from a different security group and that was not updated. Under "Security Group Membership when Group Policy was applied." the user is still a member of the security group I removed it from a couple days ago. This is why I have no idea what is going on with this processing of the GPOs and the updating of the policy.

Re: GPO testing

The more I read about your issue, the more complex it might get and the more confused I get. I don't get the thing you write about your security group? Have you changed anything with the NTFS permissions of the Group Policy? Or put a security group into the OU? Group Policies will not work on groups as only users and machines can be a target for Group Policies.

If you have the time, I'd be nice if you could write down the steps you take to create a policy. Do these errors exist with every policy you create or just a particular one?

cheers,

Florian