

Re: My Wiindow's 2000 Kodak Imaging on my XP

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.newusers/2007-05/msg00076.html>

- *From:* "Shenan Stanley" <newshelper@xxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 6 May 2007 16:50:30 -0500
-

Janetb wrote:

I just upgraded my legal 2KPro to a legal XP Pro and was devastated to find that the XP image viewer is not only fuzzy but cannot read and edit annotations on my hundreds of image files..! How can I install my (licensed) Kodak Imaging program from my Win2000 on my new XP—I have the 2KPro CD's but don't know how to install without an installer.....

Shenan Stanley wrote:

Your 'Kodak Imaging program' was unlikely to have been a part of the OS (Windows 2000)...
Install it on Windows XP the same way you installed it on Windows 2000.
Although – I recommend one of these two applications:

IrfanView
<http://irfanview.com/>

Picasa
<http://picasa.google.com/>

The latter even gives you 1GB free to post pictures in web-albums to share with family/friends/the world.

Shenan Stanley wrote:

You also may want to look into hardware drivers.

Visit the hardware manufacturer (particularly for your motherboard and video card in your case) and download the latest drivers for said devices (chipset and video card) and install them.

Janetb wrote:

Re: My Wiindow's 2000 Kodak Imaging on my XP

This issue had nothing to do with my hardware devices. Please read about Kodak Imaging and XP in the KB.

Janetb wrote:

Kodak Imaging was the default image viewer that installed with 2KPro, Windows 98, etc. As I said, I have the files on my 2KPro CD's. Microsoft severed their relations with the owners of the app and introduced a new viewer with XP which is inferior.

I have used Irfanview for years. It does not allow me to view and edit the annotations made by Imaging on my image files. I would like the old Microsft default viewer---Kodak Imaging---as the default image viewer on my XP.

Shenan Stanley wrote:

You are correct and incorrect at the same time.

Kodak Imaging was included with Window 9x and 2000. Microsoft was obviously licensed to use it on those OSes. However – just because you own Windows 2000 and a license for Windows 2000 does not mean you are licensed to use PARTS of it beyond the use of the Windows 2000 OS itself.

<http://www.alliancegroup.co.uk/Imaging-for-Windows.html>

Is this what you want?

"G360 Imaging for Windows replaces, and is compatible with, the Wang Imaging for Windows included in Windows 95 and NT and the Kodak Imaging for Windows and Imaging Preview in Microsoft Windows 98 and 2000 (sometimes known as Microsoft Imaging)."

Shenan Stanley wrote:

The issue is discussed here:

http://articles.techrepublic.com.com/5100-1035_11-5034679.html

Although the original article was removed some time ago (my guess – legal reasons...) – the comments are quite revealing and describe what you are describing.

I was incorrect about what was included in 2000. 2000/XP is in the NT line of products – and I thought 'Kodak Imaging for Windows and Imaging Preview' was not included in 2000 for that reason. (I thought it was a 9x product line add-on only.)

Also – you say read about it in the 'KB' – but give no article number in any response in this thread.

Re: My Wiindow's 2000 Kodak Imaging on my XP

I also suggested Picasa. While I use Irfanview and install it on many machines frequently – I am leaning more and more towards Picasa. I realized it would not solve your 'old annotations' issue – but the only thing I think might do that is to find a hack/possibly not legitimate way of getting 'Kodak Imaging for Windows and Imaging Preview' installed onto Windows XP or actually purchaing a legitimate license from the link I gave above for the alliancegroup.

Quote from the comments in the page linked from this particular answer: " Imaging for Windows is a product of eiStream Inc. Their Legal Department states:

"XP users are not licensed to use Imaging for Windows. The product is not a part of the XP operating system as Microsoft is no longer licensed to distribute it. XP users who wish to have the Imaging for Windows functionality may purchase the licences."

"Further, it is in fact a copyright violation to take Imaging for Windows from a prior O/S and 'drop' it into XP; this activity is also a violation of both eiStream's and Microsoft's licenses to end users."

Imaging for Windows is available from
www.alliancegroup.co.uk/imaging-for-windows.html"

Ans although I cannot condone it – I will say that if you bother reading through the comments on the page I linked to – you will find a solution that no matter your believe on legalities – will work for you.

Shenan Stanley
MS-MVP

How To Ask Questions The Smart Way
<http://www.catb.org/~esr/faqs/smart-questions.html>

.