

Re: Re: worthless activation

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.newusers/2005-07/msg01446.html>

- *From:* Sparda <UseLinkToEmail@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* 22 Jul 2005 19:35:52 -0400
-

"" wrote:

> On 19 Jul 2005 20:35:36 -0400, Sparda

> <UseLinkToEmail@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote:

>

>>"" wrote:

>>> "Guy" is full of beans....

>>>

>>> --

>>> Carey Frisch

>>> Microsoft MVP

>>> Windows XP - Shell/User

>>> Microsoft Newsgroups

>>>

>>> Get Windows XP Service Pack 2 with Advanced Security

>>> Technologies:

>>> <http://www.microsoft.com/athome/security/protect/windowsxp/choose.msp>

>>>

>>>

> -----

>>> -----

>>>

>>> "Husky" wrote:

>>>

>>> | Guy says he does it with a registered version. I want to

>>> know how...

>>

>>Hello, I havent been keeping track, but i use reset5.02 to

> disable the

>>activation, this dose only work upto service pack1, but i

> donâ??t

>>intend on upgrading to SP2, if it works, then why upgrade,

> lots of

>>compnays (infect the majority) still use windows 2000 with

> win 2k

>>servers, whats the point upgrading if it alrady works. Plus

> from past

>>experiance i have discovered that upgrading from one SP to

> another

Re: Re: worthless activation

> >causes general instability and annoyance, a lot of the updates
> that MS
> >provide (including SP2) just have a feel good factor "I have
> all
> >updates... My PC must be secure". Obviously this is not so,
> and as such
> >i am behind a hardware firewall and on top of that run a
> personal
> >firewall (ZA Pro to be exact) along side spybot S & D and
> AntiVir
> >(complete with automated internal update server), basically i
> refer you
> >back to "if it works, why upgrade?"
>
> Why upgrade from win 3.01 ? Because you'll get trampled in the
> advancing
> technology is why if you don't..
> And SP2 is a big improvement.
> --
> more pix @ <http://members.toast.net/cbminfo/index.html>

Service Pack 2 is a big improvement on Win 3.01? I dare say it is, but there I go again being pedantic. Obviously win 3.01 can be said not to work because it wouldn't do what I require, which is play DirectX 9 games. You may now wonder why I use XP over 98 or even XP over 2000, well, I'm not sure why I use XP over 2000, there is no reason why I should (guess this goes back to most companies using win 2000) I could do everything I wanted to do on windows 2000, the reasons for XP over 98 are fairly obvious, 1. More stable 2. NTFS support 3. Background services act more like daemons 4. Some recent games (such as San Andreas) won't work on 98. What do you mean service pack installations go smoothly? of course they appear to install smoothly, until you discover your printer prints all letters as question marks and windows thinks you have DirectX 7 installed.

—
Posted using the <http://www.windowsforumz.com> interface, at author's request
Articles individually checked for conformance to usenet standards
Topic URL: <http://www.windowsforumz.com/New-Users-worthless-activation-ftopic396721.html>
Visit Topic URL to contact author (reg. req'd). Report abuse:
<http://www.windowsforumz.com/eform.php?p=1314704>

• **References:**

◆ **[Re: worthless activation](#)**

◇ From: Husky

• Prev by Date: **[Re: Re: Re: worthless activation](#)**

• Next by Date: **[Re: Re: Major Help on XP!](#)**

Re: Re: worthless activation

- Previous by thread: ***Re: worthless activation***
- Next by thread: ***Re: worthless activation***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***