

Re: Difference between XP Home and Pro

Source:

http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.network_web/2004-07/0866.html

From: Marc Seidler (*admin_at_computerdoctors.net*)

Date: 07/07/04

Date: Tue, 6 Jul 2004 22:11:38 -0400

Thanks, That is my opinion also.

"Richard G. Harper" <rgharper@email.com> wrote in message
news:ewA4JHwYEHA.3112@tk2msftngp13.phx.gbl...

> *The good news is that there are no differences whatsoever between Windows
XP*

> *Home and Windows XP Professional as far as the network stacks and
protocols*

> *go. Neither is more or less stable than the other is. In general terms,*

> *any networked application that works on XP Pro will also work on XP Home
so*

> *long as it doesn't require domain membership.*

>

> *The bad news is that your vendor has chosen not to support Windows XP
Home,*

> *and if you elect to run their application on it you will not be able to
get*

> *support from them. Yeah, I know that sucks, as a network administrator*

> *myself I am completely with you. But is it worth saving a few bucks per*

> *copy of Windows if in return you must toss out the support from the
vendor?*

> *I know how I'd decide ... I'd bite the bullet and buy XP Pro instead of XP*

> *Home because if the software goes down you want it fixed right now, not an*

> *argument with the support staff.*

>

> --

> *Richard G. Harper [MVP Win9x] rgharper@email.com*

> ** PLEASE post all messages and replies in the newsgroups*

> ** for the benefit of all. Private mail is usually not replied to.*

> ** HELP us help YOU ... <http://www.dts-l.org/goodpost.htm>*

>

>

> *"Marc Seidler" <admin@computerdoctors.net> wrote in message*

> *news:O69%23C1vYEHA.212@TK2MSFTNGP12.phx.gbl...*

> > *I have run into this a few times now and I need clarification. According*

> > *to what I have read regarding the differences, XPH is fine for Peer to*

> *Peer*

> > *up to 5 users and that it cannot Logon to a Domain where as XPP can work*

> > *fine in a Peer to Peer 10 user workgroup and can Logon to a Domain.*

Also

> > *XPP has much more granularity to the file sharing options from a user*

> > *permission standpoint. Other than a few other minor utility differences*

> > *they are the same from a networking standpoint.*

> > *Here is the problem. I have quiet a few doctors offices as clients*

> and

> > *their Office Management software developers specifically require XPP*

even

> > *below 5 users. They claim that in testing XPH is not stable in a*

> *networking*

> > *environment. They say they will not support XPH at all. I have not*

> *found*

> > *and problems running XPH. There are pretty std networking apps that run*

> *the*

> > *client locally and the data files reside on a mapped drive.*

> > *Any info would be appreciated.*

>

>