

Re: Fuzzy Still Pictures

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.moviemaker/2005-08/msg00831.html>

- *From:* "Al Stu - MVP" <NOYB@xxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sat, 13 Aug 2005 17:09:54 -0700
-

I don't think that's quite what he said, but you are entitled to your misconceived interpretation. Just don't spread it around.

Some people just insist on being wrong, and there's nothing I can do about it, but challenge them. So tell us how it is that image resizing and/or changing pixel aspect ratio is different for "DV-AVI (NTSC)" and "High Quality Video (NTSC)". They are both 720x480 are they not? Therefore does the image not have to be resized the same for both? And just for the sake of argument, assuming a change of pixel aspect ratio, would this not be done for both formats too since they are both 720x480?

I am not, and never have questioned that down-resizing the pixel count of an image will not have an impact on it's quality. That is a given regardless of tool used. But it has to do that regardless of saving as "DV-AVI (NTSC)" vs. "High Quality Video (NTSC)" as they are both 720x480.

Based on my limited understanding of digitized images, it does not seem that changing pixel aspect ratio will change the quality of an image. Just it's H/V proportionality.

I will admit I am not a video/image expert and my positions, premises, and reasoning at times may not be totally complete and 100% accurate, and perhaps sometimes just plain ol' flawed. But what you are trying to put forth just does not make technical sense to me. Furthermore you still have not shown any evidence of DV-AVI non-square pixel specification. If you can adequately build your case to prove, then I will subscribe, but so far you have not been able to do that. Who knows I could still wind up with egg on face, but so far it doesn't look that way.

Re: Fuzzy Still Pictures

--

Al Stu – MVP

The world may be round, but I'm still living on the edge.

Copyright © 2005, Al Stu (2348410). All Rights Reserved.

"Rehan" <someone@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:%2359AgyDoFHA.2180@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

> Hi Al,

>

> I appreciate your challenges and consider them part of a healthy
> discussion. I give you full credit for suspecting that something
> more/other was going on. However I object to your use of word like
> "misconceived notion" etc as it is not fair. It has been confirmed by Dean
> that my explanation of non-square resampling also plays a part in the
> degraded output of DV AVI although it may not be as significant as the
> contribution from the filter. Therefore I would request that you keep to
> the truth as it is. Distorting the arguments just to please somebody's ego
> is not fair at all.

>

> --

> Rehan

> MS MVP --- Digital Media

> www.rehanfx.org – get transitions and effects for Windows Movie Maker

>

>

>

> "Al Stu – MVP" <NOYB@xxxxxxx> wrote in message

> news:e9nu2NDoFHA.2080@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

>> Yup, My challenging Rehan's misconceived notions was not helpful at all.

>> LOL

>>

>>

>

>

.

• *Follow-Ups:*

◆ [*Re: Fuzzy Still Pictures*](#)

◇ *From:* Rehan

• *References:*

◆ [*Re: Fuzzy Still Pictures*](#)

Re: Fuzzy Still Pictures

◇ *From:* Dean Rowe [MS]

◆ ***Re: Fuzzy Still Pictures***

◇ *From:* PapaJohn \ (MVP\)

◆ ***Re: Fuzzy Still Pictures***

◇ *From:* John Kelly

◆ ***Re: Fuzzy Still Pictures***

◇ *From:* Al Stu – MVP

◆ ***Re: Fuzzy Still Pictures***

◇ *From:* Rehan

- Prev by Date: ***How do you import Movie Maker files into Sonic MyDVD to burn to di***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Removing Movie Maker from Windows XP***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: Fuzzy Still Pictures***
- Next by thread: ***Re: Fuzzy Still Pictures***
- Index(es):
 - ◆ ***Date***
 - ◆ ***Thread***