

Re: Processor temps. – Difference in readings

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.hardware/2004-12/0901.html>

From: DILIP (*dilipr_at_#*>*) **Date:** 12/09/04

Date: Thu, 9 Dec 2004 09:40:03 +0530

None of them are around 46–50C except the case/board temperature which is a separate entity and is ~40C, correctly reported in the BIOS as well as software. As for AIDA32, it has reported correct temps in the past, but I loaded Everest anyway, and the result is the same. The puzzling thing is that all these readings would correspond typically to one and the same sensor; wouldn't they? This difference is extremely odd. One of my geek friends suggested re-flashing it and changing the battery. I re-flashed, but the result is the same. The only thing left to do would be to change the battery – But FWIW the computer keeps good time and shows no signs of failure on that part.

I'll can attach a screenshot of the MBM readings if it helps.

--

Replace the obvious with "hotmail"

"Bob Willard" <BobwBSGS@TrashThis.comcast.net> wrote in message news:%23225\$LZ3EHA.3336@TK2MSFTNGP11.phx.gbl...

> DILIP wrote:

>> Has anyone experienced software apps reporting different values for CPU
>> temps than the BIOS?

>>

>> My BIOS reports 45-46C

>> Motherboard Monitor and Aida32 report 91-92C

>>

>> Has anyone seen this before? I'm baffled. Asus P4T-M Socket 423 850

>> based.

>> Pentium 4 1300MHz.

>>

>>

>

> I'll believe the BIOS temps. The MBM temps are way too high. With MBM,
> bring

> up the Settings panel, select Temps, then select CPU, then walk down the
> list

> of board sensors until you find one that agrees with the BIOS. Aida may
> have

> something like MBM's choices; I stopped using it in favor of Everest,
> which

> had the right temps out of the box.

> --

> Cheers, Bob