

Re: Microsoft Taking Official Petitions to Keep XP Alive

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2008-06/msg00255.html>

- *From:* Frank <fb@xxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Sun, 01 Jun 2008 14:00:37 -0700
-

Canuck57 wrote:

> "+Bob+" <uctraing@xxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
> news:dvm544h8gjq9p4opif21etamk7i8r9ot7k@xxxxxxxxxxxx
>
>
>>Microsoft doesn't care about stability or the fact that each new OS
>>requires more and more HP to run effectively. Otherwise there would be
>>an SP4 and/or there would be official upgrade releases that added new
>>features. Instead, we get a bug ridden, driver lacking, software
>>incompatible, new OS every few years. Face it: they care only about
>>selling you a new OS.
>
>
> Don't disagree with that at all. However that is the problem, neither home
> consumers nor businesses want to rework their computer infrastructure every
> 3 years.
>
>
>>But, the problem for them is that there are really not that many new
>>features to add to an OS. Look at a comparison of 2000, to XP, to
>>Vista. What have they really added in terms of user features? VPN? I'm
>>at a loss to find anything else that's more than a refinement on the
>>user side. On the system side, they've simply gobbled more HP to
>>deliver the same set of user features (that's not a feature, it's a
>>major flaw).
>
>
> Agree there. So between extra resources and additional DRM...we have Vista,
> offers noting else.
>
>
>
>>I long for a return to the old days, when OS vendors built an
>>operating system then continually refined it in each release to make
>>it better. Wholesale replacement was not an option because customers
>>demand stability and reduced life cycle costs. Over time, we ended

Re: Microsoft Taking Official Petitions to Keep XP Alive

>>>up with some incredibly stable, bug free, solid, dependable OS's. You
>>can't do that if you keep replacing your code wholesale.
>
>
> Linux, the BSDs and Solaris do this. I have run Solaris 7 programs on
> Solaris 10. Big departures can occur, like SunOS to Solaris but are decades
> apart. Linux, is a series of incremental improvements. Continuous
> improvement taken seriously.
>
>
>>>XP can compete with Linux and do well, but Vista....nada. Vista is like
>>>the
>>>Titanic after the water was leaking in. Vista drives people to Apple and
>>>Linux. It will be slow at first, but will pick up as word spreads. See
>>>Eee
>>>PC sales....suppliers can't keep the Linux varieties in stock.
>>
>>Not to worry. Windows 7 will fix everything! (Note sarcasm, see above,
>>note repeat cycle).
>
>
> Been around too long to believe that Win7 will fix much. For everything it
> solves, it will create new issues.
>
> In fact, it is going to create a situation where you have 3 major OSes in
> place for Microsoft alone. XP, Vista and Win 7. Not to mention variations
> there in and of others like W2008. I anticipate MS-Windows 100 OS pickup.
>
> (since XP was made)
> (Assuming Win7/W2008 follows Vista/2003 fragmentation)
>
> (5) Windows Server 2008 Standard, Enterprise, Datacenter, Itanium, Web
> (3) Windows Server 2008 (No Hyper-V) Standard, Enterprise, Enterprise
> (4) Windows Server 2008 x64 Standard, Enterprise, Datacenter, Web
> (3) Windows Server 2008 x64 (No Hyper-V) Standard, Enterprise, Enterprise
> (5) Windows Win7 OEM: Ultimate, Business, Premium, Basic, Enterprise
> (5) Windows Win7 Full: Ultimate, Business, Premium, Basic, Enterprise
> (4) Windows Win7 x64 OEM: Ultimate, Business, Premium, Enterprise
> (4) Windows Win7 x64 Full: Ultimate, Business, Premium, Enterprise
> (5) Windows Vista OEM: Ultimate, Business, Premium, Basic, Enterprise
> (5) Windows Vista Full: Ultimate, Business, Premium, Basic, Enterprise
> (4) Windows Vista x64 OEM: Ultimate, Business, Premium, Enterprise
> (4) Windows Vista x64 Full: Ultimate, Business, Premium, Enterprise
> (4) Windows Server 2003 Standard, Enterprise, Datacenter, Web
> (4) Windows Server 2003 x64: Standard, Enterprise, Datacenter, Web
> (2) Windows Server 2003 Itanium: Enterprise, Datacenter
> (3) XP Home, Pro, MCE (2003 & 2005)
> (6) XP OEM Home, Pro, Pro x64, MCE, Tablet, Mini (EeePC)
> (10) CE (List is long, includes pocket PC, phone etc, this is conservative)
>
> Did I miss any? Any not quite right?

Re: Microsoft Taking Official Petitions to Keep XP Alive

- >
- > 70 not including CE, which makes at least 80+ different ways to buy
- > MS–Windows sold since XP was introduced.
- >
- > I pity the poor MSCE that has to carry all those DVDs to a consulting gig.
- >
- > No wonder the M\$ salesperson can't remember pricing....as the above comes in
- > diffent different, business licensing and retail. And even then the support
- > variations.... Whew.
- >
- > No wonder they can't fix Vista, engineering is buried in configuration
- > management issues.

>

>

How brilliant!

Unemployed/unemployable asshole losers telling some of the most
successful business people ever, how to run their business!

Priceless!...LOL!

Frank

.