

Re: Vista cannot and will not replace XP. Case Closed

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2008-01/msg02789.html>

- *From:* Alias <alias@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Mon, 14 Jan 2008 17:37:03 +0100
-

Colin Barnhorst wrote:

You are merely hearing what you already wanted to hear. I did not gather that impression at all. Your anti-MS and anti-Vista attitudes have been apparent in these newsgroup for a very long time. While there is nothing wrong with that and you are entitled to your views, it lessens your credibility when you pontificate on the subject.

When out of ammo, old Colin resorts to the trusty ad hominem and avoids the issue. You should be up for an MVP award soon if you keep this up.

Alias

"Alias" <alias@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message [news:fmg205\\$3g1\\$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:fmg205$3g1$1@xxxxxxxxxxxxx)

Colin Barnhorst wrote:

If there is bloat it is because of backwards compatibility considerations. A surprising amount of the code is application specific enabling much legacy software to continue to run. The rumor mill about Windows 7 includes discussion of a major break with backwards compatibility in order to dump such code. Another compatibility feature in Windows that appears to be temporary is the virtualization of program output. MS has warned ISV's that this feature will not be carried over to Windows 7 and is there to buy the ISV's time to rewrite their products so that they are not hard-coded to write to system areas now protected in Vista.

All very interesting but not relevant. Gates so much as said that Vista is a failure to be repaired by Vienna or 7 or whatever the latest code word is. If the new Windows will dump supporting old software, it will be a flop for sure. Is that your point?

Alias

Re: Vista cannot and will not replace XP. Case Closed

"Alias" <alias@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
[news:fmfvvq\\$rv8\\$1@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:fmfvvq$rv8$1@xxxxxxxxxxxx)

Colin Barnhorst wrote:

"Alias"
<alias@xxxxxxxxxxxx>
wrote in message
[news:fmfl1q\\$n9p\\$1@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:fmfl1q$n9p$1@xxxxxxxxxxxx)

John Smith
wrote:

<http://www.activewin.com/awin/comments.asp?HeadlineIndex=1>

As
you
can
read
in
the
above
article,
my
words
exactly..
Im
getting
bored
of
being
right
all
the
time.

As
I
have
said
before,
microsoft
will
be
forced
to
extend
support
of

Re: Vista cannot and will not replace XP. Case Closed

XP
for
a
very
long
time...

Only
if
they
make
the
next
version
of
windows
(called
windows
7)
better
than
the
monstrosity
called
vista,
will
XP
be
retired

Gates is
already
talking
about the
"next
version" of
Windows.
He did it on
a BBC
interview.

Alias

- > The next version of Windows has been on the calendar for many years.
- > Until recently it was called Windows Code Name Vienna and has been
- > discussed since at least 2005. MS has been deferring feature requests

Re: Vista cannot and will not replace XP. Case Closed

- > and new projects for it since Vista went into beta testing three years
- > ago. MS research has projects targeted for Windows as much as ten years
- > from now. Many of these developments need progress in hardware and
- > standards development before they can be taken to market. One important
- > subject being researched for long range inclusion is a progressive
- > reduction in dependencies, thus making Windows very modular and even
- > more robust than now.

Gates wasn't talking about it like he did on the BBC before. He was implying that it will be the replacement for a failed Vista, not a robust Vista, mind you, but a BLOATED Vista.

Alias