

## Re: Call alright....

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2007-11/msg01014.html>

---

- *From:* John John <[audetweld@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:audetweld@xxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Mon, 05 Nov 2007 17:21:48 -0400
- 

You seem to think that having consumers to pay twice for their operating system is an acceptable practice, lets face it, half of the folks who get sucked in to buying and accepting computers with these crappy restoration disks sooner or later end up having to go to the nearest big box store and buying another Windows copy to properly service their operating system, they have to pay twice for it! Let's not fiddle around the issue, that is what happens and everybody knows it! Now you put two and two together and figure out why the parties involve say nothing about this, you figure out who gets paid twice! If the state A-Gs need to get involved in this it won't be to protect manufacturers, it will be to protect the consumers from the blatant collusion that is happening with the sale of every new Windows computer!

Are there other goods that you think that consumers should have to pay for twice for no good reasons?

John

Unknown wrote:

"John John" <[audetweld@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:audetweld@xxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:uwOs1D%23HIHA.2100@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:uwOs1D%23HIHA.2100@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

They (the manufacturer's) are being forced to include a method of reinstalling Windows as it is now, so why aren't they suing about that?

Are you sure about that? How long would you expect a manufacturer to be in business if he provided no recovery? Since most manufacturers have their own menu of what is shipped with their computers a 'real' XP CD would be useless anyway.

It's a non issue, being told to include a real Windows cd with the sale of a Windows license would not be a trade restriction, they could still ship their restoration cd along with the real McCoy if they wanted to. The manufacturers say nothing because they too do not have the better interests of the consumers in mind, they have other motives in mind, which I might add is why companies are in business.

Re: Call alright....

Their motive is to create profits, customer relations and to cut costs.  
Technical support is a high expense and poor customer relations  
(not having restore) does not lead to repeat customers.

But we all know what is going on with this and why none of the players say anything or do anything about it. We know all too well who the losers are in this game, we know who it is that pays the price. Surprising that you could mention A-G in your post and not be censored, must be because the wrong party was at the receiving end in my earlier posts ;-)

John

What is really going on is not what you erroneously think. Your (emotional?) logic is flawed.

Bob I wrote:

Yep, and then the manufacturers would have the A-G suing MS for trade restrictions.

John John wrote:

Suit yourself but what you say is not true.  
The manufacturers are selling licenses and Microsoft need only say that the CD is part of the license and that it must be included with the sale, as it now does for small OEMs and generic CDs. It really is that simple, Microsoft can force small manufacturers to do it and they could force the big guys to do it if they wanted to.

John

Unknown wrote:

Check with any corporate attorney-----they cannot edict a 'real' CD be shipped.

Re: Call alright....

Re: Call alright....

"John John"

<audetweld@xxxxxxxxxxxx>

wrote in message

news:OI58zO8HIHA.4688@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Well, isn't  
that what  
this part of  
discussion  
was all  
about? Why  
are real  
Windows  
cd's not  
shipped  
with new  
Windows  
computers?  
Manufacturers  
must supply  
a (one)  
reinstallation  
method,  
Microsoft  
tells them  
which  
methods are  
acceptable  
and leaves it  
to them to  
choose which  
reinstallation  
method they  
will supply.  
If  
manufacturers  
wanted to  
include a  
real  
windows cd  
they could  
and if  
Microsoft  
wanted  
manufacturers  
to include  
"real"  
Windows  
cd with the

Re: Call alright....

sale of new  
Windows  
computers it  
could  
simply  
make it a  
mandatory  
condition of  
the  
distribution  
agreement.  
The plain  
and simple  
fact is that  
there are  
ulterior  
motives  
involved in  
the equation  
but I can't  
mention  
them  
because if I  
do my posts  
are  
censored.

John

Unknown  
wrote:

Reread  
'present  
rules'.  
I  
agree  
a  
restoration  
method  
is  
required  
but  
shipping  
a  
CD  
is  
not.

Re: Call alright....

Re: Call alright....

"John  
John"  
<audetweld@xxxxxxxxxxxx>

wrote

in

message

[news:%23Q9VOK6HIHA.484@xx](mailto:news:%23Q9VOK6HIHA.484@xx)

Microsoft  
has  
censored  
three  
of  
my  
posts  
in  
this  
thread  
so  
obviously  
this  
is  
a  
pretty  
touchy  
subject  
for  
them,  
they  
don't  
want  
their  
customers  
to  
know  
what  
is  
going  
on  
with  
this.  
To  
engage  
in  
an  
open  
and  
frank

Re: Call alright....

Re: Call alright....

discussion  
on  
the  
subject  
the  
discussion  
will  
have  
to  
be  
taken  
to  
an  
.alt  
group.

This  
is  
part  
of  
what  
I  
said  
in  
my  
last  
censored  
post:

"Of  
course  
they  
can!  
There  
is  
nothing  
illegal  
about  
it  
and  
that  
is  
how  
they  
did  
it  
in  
the  
past.  
If  
you

Re: Call alright....

Re: Call alright....

want  
to  
sell  
computers  
with  
Windows  
installed  
on  
them  
you  
have  
to  
enter  
into  
an  
agreement  
with  
Microsoft.  
Small  
system  
builders  
don't  
bother  
too  
much  
with  
this,  
they  
sell  
too  
few  
machines  
or  
do  
not  
have  
the  
resources  
to  
comply  
with  
complicated  
agreements  
so  
they  
just  
purchase  
generic  
(Microsoft)  
OEM  
disks

Re: Call alright....

Re: Call alright....

and  
accept  
the  
terms  
of  
the  
Small  
System  
Builders  
agreement,  
part  
of  
which  
stipulates  
that  
they  
\*must\*  
include  
the  
generic  
OEM  
cd  
with  
the  
sale  
of  
the  
computer.

<http://www.microsoft.com/oem/sblicense/default.msp>

The  
large  
OEM's  
have  
different  
agreements  
with  
Microsoft  
and  
part  
of  
their  
agreement  
stipulates  
that  
they  
\*must\*  
include  
a  
method  
of

Re: Call alright....

Re: Call alright....

reinstalling  
Windows  
with  
the  
sale  
of  
the  
computer.  
The  
present  
rules  
state  
that  
the  
reinstall  
method  
can  
be  
a  
real  
Windows  
CD  
(or  
a  
real  
copy,  
that  
the  
manufacturer  
can  
stamp  
out  
itself),  
a  
manufacturer's  
restoration/image  
CD  
or  
a  
service  
partition  
that  
contains  
the  
installation  
files  
or  
an  
image.  
If  
the

Re: Call alright....

Re: Call alright....

manufacturers  
don't  
comply  
with  
the  
rules  
they  
cannot  
sell  
Windows  
software  
licenses.  
To  
put  
an  
end  
to  
the  
problems  
Microsoft  
can  
simply  
state  
in  
its  
rules  
that  
the  
manufacturers  
must  
supply  
a  
real  
cd."

But  
then  
maybe  
this  
post  
might  
also  
be  
in  
vain,  
it  
too  
may  
be  
censored...

Re: Call alright....

Re: Call alright....

John

Unknown  
wrote:

Sorry,  
they  
CANNOT  
do  
that.  
Illegal.  
"John  
John"  
<audetweld@xxxxxxxxxxxx>  
wrote  
in  
message  
[news:uoeAdOxHIHA.4476@xxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:uoeAdOxHIHA.4476@xxxxxxxxxxxx)

Of  
course  
Microsoft  
can  
dictate  
that  
real  
cd's  
be  
included  
with  
the  
sale  
of  
new  
computers.  
They  
now  
dictate  
that  
the  
manufacturers  
must  
include

Re: Call alright....

Re: Call alright....

a  
method  
of  
reinstalling  
Windows,  
all  
they  
need  
to  
do  
is  
tell  
the  
manufacturers  
that  
they  
must  
ship  
a  
real  
Windows  
cd  
with  
the  
computer.

John

Unknown  
wrote:

Why  
blame  
Microsoft?  
How  
about  
the  
manufacturers?  
Get  
the  
facts  
of  
each  
case  
first.  
Example:

Re: Call alright....

Re: Call alright....

One  
manufacturer  
provides  
instructions  
on  
recoveries  
and  
gives  
instructions  
on  
creating  
recovery  
CD's.

It  
is  
not  
Microsoft's  
responsibility  
nor  
do  
they  
have  
authority  
to  
dictate  
the  
shipment  
of  
the  
CD's.

"John  
John"

<audetweld@xxxxxxxxxxxx>

wrote

in

message

[news:uuRh7DjHIHA.4584@](mailto:news:uuRh7DjHIHA.4584@)

Without  
the  
XP  
setup  
CD  
it  
is  
next

Re: Call alright....

Re: Call alright....

to  
impossible  
to  
properly  
maintain  
a  
Windows  
installation.  
That  
Microsoft  
permits  
manufacturers  
to  
ship  
Windows  
computers  
without  
this  
essential  
CD  
speaks  
volumes  
of  
what  
Microsoft  
thinks  
of  
its  
customers,  
not  
much!

You  
should  
be  
able  
to  
carry  
out  
Malke's  
instruction  
by  
using  
the  
setup  
floppy  
boot  
disks.

How  
to

Re: Call alright....

obtain  
Windows  
XP  
Setup  
boot  
disks  
<http://support.micros>

Somehow  
I  
think  
that  
I  
already  
know  
what  
your  
next  
post  
is  
going  
to  
be...

John

chawdretto  
wrote:

cheers  
for  
the  
help  
again,  
but  
my  
comp  
didnt  
come  
with  
any  
windows  
xp  
cd  
when

Re: Call alright....

Re: Call alright....

i  
bought  
it.  
it  
was  
already  
installed  
on  
it...is  
this  
a  
problem  
or  
should  
it  
have  
the  
cd?