

Re: Can't establish a network.

Re: Can't establish a network.

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2007-05/msg06380.html>

- *From:* "Don J" <dej8801@xxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 24 May 2007 11:23:19 -0400
-

I don't think we understand each other.

What do you do call it, when the thread just 'Disappears' from the newsgroup. The current newsgroup seems to do it about a day after each thread starts. This is the way they make room for new threads.

It is also a time when it is necessary to start a new thread.

Don J

"John John" <audetweld@xxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message news:etZnTPhnHHA.4188@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

No Don, the threads are not abandoned once a day. They do eventually expire, when they do if you click on the thread you will get a message telling you that the the message is "Expired". I don't know exactly how long the Microsoft servers keep the posts before they expire but it is several months. That is not to say that you should keep on beating a dead horse, if the thread is going nowhere or if it has been hijacked by posters who have nothing of value to add to the problem at hand then you definitely should start a new thread.

As a example, after I post this I will reply to one of your old threads in this group, lets say this one that you started on May 14th:

Error Message when I click "Mshome".

You will see that my post will appear as an answer to a post that you made almost two weeks ago, the threads are not deleted on a daily basis, Microsoft keeps them for many months and you can keep on using the same thread to follow up on the discussion. I see that you are using Outlook Express for your newsreader so you should be able to easily follow the discussion.

As for the issue of cross-posting vs. multi posting see here:

http://www.blakjak.demon.co.uk/mul_crss.htm

Re: Can't establish a network.

Re: Can't establish a network.

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Crossposting>

By cross posting to a few relevant groups (instead of multi-posting) the answers given by any poster in any of the cross posted groups will automatically appear in all the other groups when replies are made to any single post. People who subscribe to one group but not another one will still see the replies coming from other posters in other groups.

For example I see that you started yet another post in a different group and that no one has yet answered. I will go there and post (what I think) is a relevant reply to the question but I will try to cross post it so you will see how it works. I hope the cross post works, I don't do it often so I may screw it up ;-)

John

Don J wrote:

You have ignored my new point made under "Added Comment". It seems to me that by contributing to this newsgroup we are taking part in a group where every thread is routinely abandoned as it is, once a day, deleted by the system to automatically make way for new threads. Maybe I'm dense but isn't this a system of daily forced abandonment? What is the recommended way of continuing a thread when this happens?

Don J

"John John" <audetweld@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:eBtdfsanHHA.208@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

I'm not sure what you mean by the thread being "terminated". Terminated by whom? Threads are never really terminated, they are just abandoned but can be revived by anyone at any given time, so to speak. They are terminated in the sense that when the issue is resolved or when no amount of trying fixes the problem the posters acknowledge that the issue is fixed or that the problem cannot be resolved and they move on, the thread just becomes inactive.

If you feel that you are getting further ahead in your troubleshooting efforts and that you prefer to start new threads with new

Re: Can't establish a network.

topics to
resolve the problem then you should do as you see fit. It is
not for me
to tell anyone how to post, I only suggested that it was
difficult to
follow your efforts and the suggestions made by the poster to
help you
resolve the errors and come to a successful conclusion with
the network
problem.

As for the network problem, are you making any progress?
Did you verify
the registry setting to see if it is causing the problem?

John

Don J wrote:

See added comments at bottom:

This is a classic example of my having
started a new thread. My
original posting for this thread was basically
one I used to start a
previous thread. The thread wasn't going
anywhere. In fact the very
first response ignored many of the questions
I had posed, and shifted
the discussion. I failed to catch it at the time
and the thread took a
very different direction. So I decided to start
a new thread and keep
it in the relevant direction.

The subjects covered by threads seems to
evolve over time. This is very
confusing and, I believe, counter productive.
Is'nt it better to keep a
single thread on a single topic? And to start a
new thread for a new
topic. My thinking is that each thread should
be defined by the original
question, and that when a new issue is raised
it is good for a new
thread. I think that starting a new thread for
each new question will
help rather than impede

Re: Can't establish a network.

Added Comments:

I note that this particular newsgroup is very short: My original Post of the thread is time stamped today at 10:33AM. It is now 9:00PM. The thread containing the previous post has already been terminated.

With such a short lifespan for threads it seems to me that frequent defining of new threads has got to be more the rule than the exception. In fact I fail to see how the continuity of discussions can be maintained without defining each new thread somewhat before the previous thread is terminated. So if you look at the dates and times, my defining a new thread was a simple continuation of the old thread.

Don J

"Don J" <dej8801@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:562dnW7j1bhpQsnbnZ2dnUVZ_hqdnZ2d@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

This is a classic example of my having started a new thread. My original posting for this thread was basically one I used to start a previous thread. The thread wasn't going anywhere. In fact the very first response ignored many of the questions I had posed, and shifted the discussion. I failed to catch it at the time and the thread took a very different direction. So I decided to start a new thread and keep it in the relevant direction.

Re: Can't establish a network.

The subjects covered by threads seems to evolve over time. This is very confusing and, I believe, counter productive. Is'nt it better to keep a single thread on a sigle topic? And to start a new thread for a new topic. My thinking is that each thread should be defined by the original question, and that when a new issue is raised it is good for a new thread. I think that starting a new thread for each new question will help rather than impede

Don J

"John John"

<audetweld@xxxxxxxxxxxx>

wrote in message

[news:%23\\$G4HKWnHHA.3544@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:%23$G4HKWnHHA.3544@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

In the
registry got
to:

HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Control\L

Value:

RestrictAnonymous

Value Type:

REG_DWORD

Set the
value to 0

<http://support.microsoft.com/kb/246261/>

John

PS. Your
multi-posting
to all kinds

Re: Can't establish a network.

of different
groups and
your
constant
start of new
threads is
not helping
in your
search for
an
answer nor
is it helping
the
troubleshooting
efforts by
the would
be
helpers in
this matter!
The way
you have
sought help
with this
problem is a
classic
example on
how *not*
to ask for
help on the
newsgroups!
You would
be way
better
served by
cross-posting
this to a
few relevant
news
groups and
by
STICKING
to the thread
and
answering
the
questions
asked by the
helpers!

As it is now
there is no

Re: Can't establish a network.

Re: Can't establish a network.

single
concerted
effort to
resolve the
issues
you have
simply
because no
one knows
what has or
hasn't been
tried to
resolve the
problem,
and what
has worked
or not
worked in
the effort.
I am sure
that some of
the
networking
experts are
seeing your
posts
all over the
place but
due to the
scattered
approach
that you
have
used to seek
help many
are
probably
ignoring
your posts!

There is no
magic
solution to
the kind of
problem
that you are
having.
Troubleshooting
network
problems
can

Re: Can't establish a network.

Re: Can't establish a network.

sometimes
be complex
and with all
but the
simplest
network
problems
you usually
have to
follow a
series
of steps or
troubleshooting
measures to
arrive to a
successful
resolution
to the
problem.
By keeping
your request
for help
under one
thread a
reader may
spot a tiny
but vital
step that has
been missed
by
another
poster and
add a
contribution
to the
discussion,
quite often a
final
successful
resolution is
obtained by
a sort
collaborative
effort
by many
contributors.
When the
thread gets
longer and
when the
troubleshooting

Re: Can't establish a network.

Re: Can't establish a network.

gets more
and more
difficult is
often the
time when
some of the
experts
come in to
the rescue
or when one
of the
helpers
rereads the
thread and
spots a
crucial step
that is
missing or
gets
the stroke of
genius that
solves the
problem.

I am not
saying this
to be
critical, I
am only
trying to
help. Your
posts are
concise and
you explain
the
problems in
a clear
manner but
because of
the
scattered
postings
and lack of
focus from
all readers
you are
sabotaging
the help
effort. If my
answer does
not solve

Re: Can't establish a network.

Re: Can't establish a network.

the
problem try
one last
time by
cross-posting
to a few
select
groups.
Give all the
pertinent
information
about the
problem
and then
stick to
the thread!

John

Don J
wrote:

Right
clicking
a
folder
on
my
system
and
selecting
"Sharing
and
Security"
from
the
displayed
menu
yields
a
dialog
box
that
has
no
"Share
this
folder

Re: Can't establish a network.

on
the
network"
checkbox
available.
Is
this
OK?

On
the
same
display
is
the
statement
"As
a
security
measure,
Windows
has
disabled
remote
access
to
this
computer.
However,
you
can
enable
remote
access
and
safely
share
files
by
running
the
'Network
Setup
Wizard"
'I've
run
the
'Network
Setup
Wizard',
and

Re: Can't establish a network.

it
fails
to
establish
a
network,
as
evidenced
by
the
fact
that
again
selecting
"Sharing
and
Security"
yields
the
same
screen
as
described
above,
with
no
changes.

I'm
running
Win'XP
Home
on
two
machines.
I
have
firewalls
disabled
on
both
machines.

I'm
successfully
pinging
each
PC
one
from
the

Re: Can't establish a network.

other.

What
do
I
do?
How
do
I
create
a
network?

I
am
trying
to
set
it
up
so
that
I
can
share
folders
on
one
machine
with
another.

Don
J
