

Re: Width of Command Prompt (DOS) EDIT Window ??

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2006-06/msg03091.html>

- *From:* monir <monir@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx>
 - *Date:* Thu, 8 Jun 2006 19:01:01 -0700
-

Hello;

I agree! ... It's likely that the width of the Command Prompt – Edit window is hardwired in the DOS Editor to 80 columns, and it's not worth the effort to adjust it, if any, since it's an inconvenience rather than a problem!!

Thank you all.

"seth" wrote:

it must be hardcoded to use an 80 column width
ms-dos editor is 11 years old, i can't see getting around that
if i try to manually change the column width with edit running it doesn't
work
seems to be the same behaviour with edlin

"monir" <monir@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:5EE5638E-6354-4821-BF3A-2B6F483452D2@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

mhc, seth;

a) Either typing the command:

MODE CON COLS=100

or

b) modifying the command line in the command prompt shortcut to read:

`%SystemRoot%\system32\cmd.exe /k mode con cols=100`

would ONLY change the width of the Command Prompt window, which, as you know, could easily be adjusted via the "Command Prompt" Properties in its sub-menu, with no problem!

The difficulty is related to the Command Prompt – Edit window. Neither of

Re: Width of Command Prompt (DOS) EDIT Window ??

the above a) or b) had any effect on the width of the Edit window. The latter appears to be stuck at 80x43 and would not budge, either for the Current Window Only, or for the Short Cut that Started This Window.

Any suggestions ? Thank you.

"seth" wrote:

or you could modify your command prompt shortcut to use the /k switch

your command line in your shortcut would read:

%SystemRoot