

Re: Activated twice on different machines!

Re: Activated twice on different machines!

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2005-11/msg05620.html>

- *From:* Alias <[aka@\[notme\]maskedandanonymous.org](mailto:aka@[notme]maskedandanonymous.org)>
 - *Date:* Sat, 26 Nov 2005 20:19:20 +0100
-

Mistoffolees wrote:

Gary R. wrote:

"GHalleck" <ghalleck@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message
news:OKGC%23nj8FHA.2616@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

Because, as Carey Frisch wrote, there is an absolute --- "Two wrongs do not make a right".

Unfortunately, your "absolute" may be "absolute" to you, but it stops at the end of your nose. Whether there was ANY wrong is questionable; he grabbed the wrong CD, so shoot him.

Take it to a judge:

"next case, let's see, this says you were using a copy of Windows that was still in use on another machine".

"Yes sir, I accidentally used the wrong CD from my other computer, but I have them both here to show you that both machines had their own copies."

"Well why didn't you reinstall with the right one?"

Re: Activated twice on different machines!

"I dunno, it was a lot of work to begin with, just seemed like a big waste of time; they were the same, I was busy at the time, was afraid something would go wrong and I'd have to redo the whole thing, after a while I just forgot about it. Tried to change it to the right serial number like I would have done with Windows 98 and it wouldn't let me."

"This is an outrage, Guilty! Ten thousand dollar fine and six months, you low-life! I hope you learn your lesson, Mother Theresa; next case."

Somewhere along the line, hopefully you will learn what "wrong" really is, and that a nitpicky, "minor detail only" honest mistake that harms or endangers or inconveniences absolutely no one hardly qualifies as "Wrong". There are enough things that are genuinely wrong without having to invent new ones so you can add up two wrongs (incidentally, what was the second "wrong?"). This person now knows how to fix it, comparatively complicated as it may be. Should he choose to spend the time and effort, great, should he choose not to, or if he never gets around to it, or does it in two years when he reformats again, it will make absolutely no difference to you or anyone else. MS has their money that they're entitled to; he has his computers and OS's that he's entitled to, all is well, regardless. If it bothers you, that's your issue, go organize your sock drawer alphabetically and by purchase date. If the imaginary judge above was real, he'd have no doubt dismissed it in the interest of justice.

Gary

Realize it or not, we are now in the era of "zero tolerance". Sadly, the example you give is so real that there is no humor in it. Judges are now required to follow mandatory sentencing guidelines, with prescribed terms, whether the infraction is just a teensy bit over the line or absolutely outrageous.

And Bush just appointed two more ...

Re: Activated twice on different machines!

Re: Activated twice on different machines!

Alias

.