

# Re: DDR

---

*Source:*

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2005-09/msg06020.html>

---

- *From:* "Richard Urban [MVP]" <[richardurbanREMOVETHIS@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:richardurbanREMOVETHIS@xxxxxxxxxxx)>
  - *Date:* Sun, 11 Sep 2005 19:29:57 -0400
- 

bye

--

Regards,

Richard Urban  
Microsoft MVP Windows Shell/User

Quote from: George Ankner

"If you knew as much as you thought you know,  
You would realize that you don't know what you thought you knew!"

"Manny Borges" <[manny\\_borges@xxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:manny_borges@xxxxxxxxxxx)> wrote in message  
[news:Okp\\$DLwtFHA.3932@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:Okp$DLwtFHA.3932@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)

>I repeated asked you to read what you were replying to.

>

> I am not going to reiterate myself over and over again.

>

> You wrote:

> " It is unrealistic to suggest to someone

>> who is posting here to spend money in the hopes that it "may" work -- or

>> not!"

>

>

> I responded to this fact several times.

>

> AGAIN ..... read what you are replying to.

>

> I guess we will have to drop it unless you have time this weekend to take  
> a reading comprehension course.

>

>

> --

> Manny Borges

> MCSE NT4-2003 (+ Security)

> MCT, Certified Cheese Master

>

> The pen is mightier than the sword, and considerably easier to write with.

Re: DDR

> -- Marty Feldman  
> "Richard Urban [MVP]" <richardurbanREMOVETHIS@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in  
> message [news:%23FCITVltFHA.2624@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:%23FCITVltFHA.2624@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>>I test outside the box all the time, but as I build and sell, I have loads  
>>of new and used parts to test with. It is unrealistic to suggest to  
>>someone who is posting here to spend money in the hopes that it "may"  
>>work -- or not!  
>>  
>> Based upon that, the best choice for the average person is to go with the  
>> documentation supplied with their computer or visit [www.crucial.com](http://www.crucial.com) or  
>> [www.kingston.com](http://www.kingston.com) , use their memory configurator, and see what THEY  
>> recommend.  
>>  
>> Sorry, but I can just not agree with you on this so I guess we will have  
>> to let it go.  
>>  
>> Bye!  
>>  
>> --  
>> Regards,  
>>  
>> Richard Urban  
>> Microsoft MVP Windows Shell/User  
>>  
>> Quote from: George Ankner  
>> "If you knew as much as you thought you know,  
>> You would realize that you don't know what you thought you knew!"  
>>  
>> "Manny Borges" <manny\_borges@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>> [news:OXkWX6ktFHA.664@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:OXkWX6ktFHA.664@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>>> Again, please read exactly what you are replying to before you reply. I  
>>> know you are not a simpleton, but you are starting to sound like one.  
>>>  
>>> I NEVER said to simply disregard the manual and I DID specify that it  
>>> may not work and that the OP should make sure that they have the ability  
>>> to return the RAM for a different type if it does not work.  
>>>  
>>> Hardware product documentation is typical woefully short on technical  
>>> details.  
>>>  
>>> Making an educated decision to go outside the box with a clear  
>>> understanding of what is being done and a clear backup plan is not a bad  
>>> thing.  
>>>  
>>> Simply accepting a technical limitation without testing it when there is  
>>> no obvious downside is ridiculous.  
>>> --  
>>> Manny Borges  
>>> MCSE NT4-2003 (+ Security)  
>>> MCT, Certified Cheese Master  
>>>

Re: DDR

Re: DDR

>>> The pen is mightier than the sword, and considerably easier to write  
>>> with.  
>>> -- Marty Feldman  
>>> "Richard Urban [MVP]" <richardurbanREMOVETHIS@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in  
>>> message [news:OhmqOXatFHA.3720@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:OhmqOXatFHA.3720@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>>>> So you are saying that the person "should not" abide by what is  
>>>> specified in his motherboard manual? Bad advise, unless you personally  
>>>> have tested the RAM you specify, on the same motherboard that the O/P  
>>>> has, and have found it to function without problem!  
>>>>  
>>>> If you have done so, please state this.  
>>>>  
>>>>  
>>>> Regards,  
>>>>  
>>>> Richard Urban  
>>>> Microsoft MVP Windows Shell/User  
>>>>  
>>>> Quote from: George Ankner  
>>>> "If you knew as much as you thought you know,  
>>>> You would realize that you don't know what you thought you knew!"  
>>>>  
>>>> "Manny Borges" <manny\_borges@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>>>> [news:O3k\\$%23kStFHA.1284@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:O3k$%23kStFHA.1284@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>>>>> First, the rude:  
>>>>>  
>>>>> "And you have to ask? You can only use 2100 and 2400 obviously.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Alias "  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Yes, he did have to ask. The documentation could have been produced  
>>>>> before PC2700 ram even existed.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> And now the shortsighted:  
>>>>>  
>>>>> "you will gain nothing by using the more expensive RAM as your  
>>>>> M/B is not designed to utilize the extra speed of this newer RAM.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> --  
>>>>> Regards,  
>>>>>  
>>>>> Richard Urban  
>>>>> Microsoft MVP Windows Shell/User"  
>>>>>  
>>>>> "There is no reason to buy any RAM faster than  
>>>>> PC2400 for your motherboard.  
>>>>> Bobby"  
>>>>>  
>>>>> I believe I detailed EXACTLY why these were shortsighted statements.  
>>>>> If you have any questions, reread my original post a few times then  
>>>>> ask something specific instead of a generic "why".

Re: DDR

Re: DDR

>>>>>  
>>>>> As for technical accuracy, again, I detailed the pros and cons of  
>>>>> deviation from using the expected RAM speed and reported my personal  
>>>>> experiences.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> There is a difference between a correct answer and the right answer. I  
>>>>> try to give the right answers.  
>>>>>  
>>>>> --  
>>>>> Manny Borges  
>>>>> MCSE NT4-2003 (+ Security)  
>>>>> MCT, Certified Cheese Master  
>>>>>  
>>>>> The pen is mightier than the sword, and considerably easier to write  
>>>>> with.  
>>>>> -- Marty Feldman  
>>>>> "Richard Urban [MVP]" <richardurbanREMOVETHIS@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in  
>>>>> message [news:uleKpQNTFHA.3500@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:uleKpQNTFHA.3500@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>>>>>> Be specific. Which answers are rude, short sighted and inaccurate. I  
>>>>>> see none posted. Everyone of the posts gave the O/P the correct  
>>>>>> answer!  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> --  
>>>>>> Regards,  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Richard Urban  
>>>>>> Microsoft MVP Windows Shell/User  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> Quote from: George Ankner  
>>>>>> "If you knew as much as you thought you know,  
>>>>>> You would realize that you don't know what you thought you knew!"  
>>>>>>  
>>>>>> "Manny Borges" <manny\_borges@xxxxxxxxxxxx> wrote in message  
>>>>>> [news:OsT1c1KtFHA.1848@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx](mailto:news:OsT1c1KtFHA.1848@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx)  
>>>>>>> Lots of rude answers, and none of them accurate.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> I have had no issues using faster clocked ram than my board says it  
>>>>>>> supports on many systems.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> I have found that most ram costs about the same per MB ,  
>>>>>>> regardless of speed, with the exception of the latest and greatest  
>>>>>>> flavor of the day.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> Buying slow ram is like throwing money out.  
>>>>>>> If you update your proc and mobo, or buy a new computer, you will  
>>>>>>> probably be all set to transfer this ram to the new system.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> I did say \*possibly\*. The new system might be bigger and badder and  
>>>>>>> you may need faster/different RAM.  
>>>>>>>  
>>>>>>> But isn't possibly, better than not at all?

Re: DDR



Re: DDR

◇ *From:* Richard Urban [MVP]

◆ ***Re: DDR***

◇ *From:* Manny Borges

◆ ***Re: DDR***

◇ *From:* Richard Urban [MVP]

◆ ***Re: DDR***

◇ *From:* Manny Borges

- Prev by Date: ***Mouse control***
- Next by Date: ***Re: Backup problem***
- Previous by thread: ***Re: DDR***
- Next by thread: ***Re: DDR***
- Index(es):
  - ◆ ***Date***
  - ◆ ***Thread***