

Re: eMachines OEM XP woes – time to buy \$standard?

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2005-05/msg01618.html>

- *From:* "kurtrail" <dontemailme@xx>
 - *Date:* Tue, 3 May 2005 10:46:26 -0400
-

Kerry Brown wrote:

> "kurtrail" <dontemailme@xx> wrote in
> message news:OlrGAL%23TFHA.2872@xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx

>> JS wrote:

>>> kurtrail wrote:

>>>> JS wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>> Mike Hall (MS-MVP) wrote:

>>>>>

>>>>>> NoStop

>>>>>>>

>

> <snip>

>

>>

>> LOL! Whatever. At least I don't have to distort reality, like you!

>>

>> "Firstly, of course Linux is subject to breaches. However, that in

>> no way detracts from the fact that Linux is demonstrably more secure

>> than any version

>> of Windows."

>>

>> Please demonstrate it.

>>

>> <http://www.zdnet.com.au/news/software/0,2000061733,39116229,00.htm>

>>

>> "As for your claim that "the hundreds of free programs are not all

>> of good quality," that's also a given. However, you forget to

>> mention the high-rolling

>> Free Open Source programs that simply kill the opposition -- think

>> Apache, think

>> gcc, think Mozilla Firefox."

>>

>> Of course YOU fail to mention that both Apache, and GCC are of no

>> direct use to the average computer user. Again, in the context of

>> this thread,

>

Re: eMachines OEM XP woes – time to buy \$standard?

>
> Actually GCC is needed to install some of the free programs. They are
> distributed as source code :-)

LOL! And the average computer moron would know that?

>
>
>> I doubt the OP could setup and use Apache server software, or use a
>> programming tool, if they can't even figure out to install their
>> video card drivers after installing Windows.
>>
>> And that Firefox's 6% of the browser market really is still nothing
>> compared to IE's 89%. But hey, you are disputing a MS-MVP you
>> accuse of "A distorted and biased assessment of Linux" by giving a
>> distorted and biased assessment of Linux! Pot meet Kettle!
>>
>> "Fun? You know, Linux is fun. But until you've tried it with an open
>> mind, you
>> have no right to denigrate it."
>>
>> No OS is fun, it is just the underlying code that gets everything
>> working together. And that just demonstrates how you will distort
>> reason as a biased linux advocate.
>>
>
>
> Linux is fun. I enjoy testing it at least once a month. To me that is
> fun, for the average user probably not.

And I am talking about the average person. Testing is something they
have nightmares about.

> I install it on a spare
> machine and try to use it as my everyday pc. So far the longest it's
> lasted is a week before I hit some roadblock that I have to use a
> Windows machine to get by. In all fairness I have access to the MS
> Action Pack subscription so whatever I need to do I probably have
> some MS software that does it. Usually the roadblock could be solved
> with Linux. I just don't have the time to figure out which program I
> need, find the version which works with the distro I'm using, figure
> out how to install it, then figure out how to use it.

And you aren't the average user, which is the context of this tread.

—
Peace!
Kurt
Self-anointed Moderator
microscum.pubic.windowsexp.gonorrhea
<http://microscum.com/mscommunity>

Re: eMachines OEM XP woes – time to buy \$standard?

"Trustworthy Computing" is only another example of an Oxymoron!

"Produkt-Aktivierung macht frei"

• **Follow-Ups:**

◆ **Re: eMachines OEM XP woes – time to buy \$standard?**

◇ From: NoStop

◆ **Re: eMachines OEM XP woes – time to buy \$standard?**

◇ From: Kerry Brown

• **References:**

◆ **eMachines OEM XP woes – time to buy \$standard?**

◇ From: Duncan905

◆ **Re: eMachines OEM XP woes – time to buy \$standard?**

◇ From: NoStop

◆ **Re: eMachines OEM XP woes – time to buy \$standard?**

◇ From: Mike Hall \ (MS-MVP\)

◆ **Re: eMachines OEM XP woes – time to buy \$standard?**

◇ From: JS

◆ **Re: eMachines OEM XP woes – time to buy \$standard?**

◇ From: kurttrail

◆ **Re: eMachines OEM XP woes – time to buy \$standard?**

◇ From: JS

◆ **Re: eMachines OEM XP woes – time to buy \$standard?**

◇ From: kurttrail

◆ **Re: eMachines OEM XP woes – time to buy \$standard?**

◇ From: Kerry Brown

• Prev by Date: **Registry Group Policies – Programmatically Setting**

• Next by Date: **Re: XP – How do I change the selections in 'Open With'**

• Previous by thread: **Re: eMachines OEM XP woes – time to buy \$standard?**

• Next by thread: **Re: eMachines OEM XP woes – time to buy \$standard?**

• Index(es):

◆ **Date**

◆ **Thread**