

Re: CA vs. Symantec vs. Microsoft

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2005-03/0740.html>

From: Mike Hall \(\MS-MVP\) (*mike.hall.mail_at_sympatico.ca*)

Date: 02/28/05

Date: Mon, 28 Feb 2005 12:53:50 -0500

Dan

We are moving away from DOS.. those of us that remember using MS-DOS look back fondly, but have no particular desire to return.. many of the machines that relied on DOS are long gone.. some buried, others crushed.. the ones that do survive do not have eternal life.. it is time to move on..

--

Mike Hall

MVP - Windows Shell/user

<http://dts-l.org/goodpost.htm>

"Dan" <spamyou@user.nec> wrote in message

news:%23aLZTobHFHA.1476@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...

> It depends how you configure Webroot. I have it configured so that it
> does
> not annoy me. Exactly, the Microsoft antispyware does show promise but we
> must all have patience while this Giant software is tested. I hope that
> Microsoft can release antispyware for the cooperation and power
> home-based/business-based system user on a LAN network that will be a for
> pay
> product that will be easy to install and unistall on an XP system. I
> dual-boot with 98SE and XP PRO. and use a customized boot.ini with a
> customized registry and have customized DOS (Disk Operating System) for my
> needs. I am disappointed that XP has a poor legacy substitute DOS shell
> wheras 98SE has true MS-DOS but still lacks some DOS commands as PCR has
> pointed out that were removed instead of being updated for more modern
> use.
> BTW, does anyone know how to acquire a retail edition of MS-DOS 6.22 which
> I
> think is the last full DOS version but if it is not then I want the last
> complete MSDOS kit. The reason I want the full MSDOS kit is that I want
> to
> do more power testing on DOS and need a complete DOS package for my needs.
> I
> can then send my suggestions to Bill Gates and figure out the best way to
> develop future Windows packages and also if it is at all feasible to have
> a
> Classics Windows version in the future for older computers that can help
> Microsoft solve some environmental problems for older computers and
> hopefully
> stop or at least slow the trend towards Apple and Open-Source products. I
> can setup my computer to have a tri-boot with MS-DOS 6.22, 98SE and XP
> PRO.
> Thanks for your time and help.

microsoft.public.windowsxp.general: Re: CA vs. Symantec vs. Microsoft

>
> "Yves Leclerc" <yleclercNOSPAM@maysys.com> wrote in message
> news:%233WdtZbHFHA.4084@TK2MSFTNGP14.phx.gbl...
> : I am using Zone Alarm Free behind a NAT router at home and a corporate
> : hardware firewall at my office.
> :
> : As for anti-spyware systems, not everyone will catch every spywares out
> : there. As for Webroot, I do not like it! It is too much "integrated"
> and
> : pops up too often (is the standard setup). Microsoft's attempt shows
> : promise but we need to see when it gets out of "beta".
> :
> :
> : "Dan" <spamyou@user.nec> wrote in message
> : news:uy8uQPbHFHA.1528@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...
> : > You do not use a firewall and so you must be playing with fire. Are
> you
> : > saying you do not have a hardware firewall built into a LinkSys router
> or
> : > a
> : > software firewall such as ZA's PRO. version, CA EZARMOR Firewall or
> even
> : > Microsoft own firewall in XP? Even if you are on a dial-up it is
> : > suggested
> : > that you have a firewall according to PC World. Also, a layered
> approach
> : > to
> : > security is best. Finally, I think Webroot's SpySweeper is the best
> at
> : > removing spyware since Microsoft's antispyware was GIANT but it is
> still
> : > in
> : > beta testing and Spysweeper has been able to remove spyware that was
> not
> : > detected in Pest Patrol. Also, Pest Patrol had some false positives
> and
> : > that
> : > is why I chose not to renew it when my subscription to Pest Patrol
> expired
> : > on
> : > February 5, 2005. Have an awesome day and I hope you will consider
> the
> : > security of a firewall to safeguard your system.
> : >
> : > "Yves Leclerc" <yleclercNOSPAM@maysys.com> wrote in message
> : > news:%23aGP%23IbHFHA.1476@TK2MSFTNGP09.phx.gbl...
> : > : Symantec does not have an anti-virus suite that is not bloatware.
> May
> : > be
> : > : the same if they offer a anti-spyware system (???)
> : > :
> : > : Microsoft AntiVirus Beta 1 is not bad. However, Microsoft has yet
> to
> : > : release an antivirus system for XP.
> : > :
> : > : CA seems to have the best in antivirus and anti-spyware programs:
> : > :
> : > : Etrust is very good in virus protection and
> removal.
> : > : PestPatrol seems to be a leader in spyware
> removal.
> : > :
> : > :

microsoft.public.windowsxp.general: Re: CA vs. Symantec vs. Microsoft

> : > : I do not use their firewall as of yet.
> : > :
> : > : "Dan" <spamyou@user.nec> wrote in message
> : > : news:uNGR55aHFHA.3196@TK2MSFTNGP15.phx.gbl...
> : > : > Which company is the best for protecting your computer? Which
> company
> : > has
> : > : > the best anti-spyware, anti-virus, and firewall solution to
> protect
> : > the
> : > : > individual, companies and the government? Please post your
> feedback
> : > and
> : > : > here
> : > : > is an article from Forbes about the two companies.
> : > : >
> : > : >
> : >
> http://www.forbes.com/home/ebusiness/2005/02/28/cx_ah_0228mondaysmatchup.html
> : > : >
> : > : >
> : > :
> : > :
> : >
> : >
> :
> :
>
>