

Re: XP PRO. VS. 98 SECOND EDITION ATT: All MVP's, Kurt, and Everyone in the Know

Source:

<http://www.tech-archive.net/Archive/WinXP/microsoft.public.windowsxp.general/2005-02/25677.html>

From: André Gulliksen (*andre.gulliksen_at_start.no*)

Date: 02/25/05

Date: Fri, 25 Feb 2005 18:26:16 +0100

kurtrail wrote:

- > *Yeah, NT really is built on a kernel with no command line structure at*
- > *all. The DOS emulation was attached as an afterthought!*
- >
- > *Dan has a real point about NT not really having a true maintenance OS*
- > *that it is built on.*

What is the problem? Is the command line really that necessary for maintenance? If you are sore about not being able to fit a complete startup environment onto a 1.44 MB floppy like in the old days, then too bad. But there are several alternatives for doing maintenance work on a corrupt XP installation apart from running a repair installation (or even the recovery console) from the installation CD. The reason the repair installation is suggested so often in here is most likely because it is a more or less automated routine, it may work and most people these days wouldn't have a clue about what to do if they found themselves in a command line environment such as the recovery console.